

Mathematics

ON FACTORIZATION OF A CLASS OF SECOND ORDER
MATRIX-FUNCTIONS

A. V. SARGSYAN*

Chair of Differential Equations, YSU

The paper suggests a factorization construction method for a class of second order matrix-functions. The left lower element of these matrix-functions may be represented as combinations of other three elements of matrix-function and two functions, meromorphic respectively in interior and exterior regions of the unit disk.

Keywords: factorization, matrix-function, partial indices.

1°. We denote by W the Wiener algebra of continuous functions defined on the unit circle Γ and representable as absolutely convergent Fourier series. By $W^{n \times m}$ we denote the set of all those matrix-functions (MF) of order $n \times m$, the components of which belong to W . For short we use standard notations W^n and W instead of $W^{n \times 1}$ and $W^{1 \times 1}$.

Let $\langle f \rangle_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) z^{-k-1} dz$ be the Fourier coefficients of $f \in W^{n \times m}$. We

define by equalities

$$(\mathcal{P}_j^- f)(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-1} \langle f \rangle_k t^k, \quad (\mathcal{P}_j^+ f)(t) = \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \langle f \rangle_k t^k$$

projections \mathcal{P}_j^{\pm} ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) acting in $W^{n \times m}$.

Consider the following spaces: $W_+^{n \times m} = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_0^+$, $W_-^{n \times m} = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_1^-$, $\overset{\circ}{W}_-^{n \times m} = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_0^-$. Under factorization of a MF $G \in W^{2 \times 2}$ in W we understand its representation in the form $G = G_- \Lambda G_+$, where G_- , $G_-^{-1} \in W_-^{2 \times 2}$, G_+ , $G_+^{-1} \in W_+^{2 \times 2}$, $\Lambda(t) = \text{diag}[t^{\chi_1}, t^{\chi_2}]$, $t \in \Gamma$, $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi_1 \leq \chi_2$. The numbers χ_1, χ_2 are called partial indices of the MF G . Constructive methods for building factorizations are known only for narrow classes of matrix-functions (see, e.g., [1–6]).

* E-mail: ann-sargsyan@yandex.ru

Let $G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{22}, h_1, h_2 \in W$. We assume that there exist some polynomials q_- and q_+ ($\deg q_- = v_+$, $\deg q_+ = n_-$), whose roots lie correspondingly in Γ_+ and Γ_- , and there exists a non-negative integer N ($N \geq n_-$), such that $p_- = \frac{q_+ h_1}{\tau^N} \in W_-$, $p_+ = q_- h_2 \in W_+$, where $\tau(t) = t$ ($t \in \Gamma$).

A class of second order matrix-functions, defined on the unit circle $\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z|=1\}$ of a form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ h_1 G_{11} + h_2 G_{22} - h_1 h_2 G_{12} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

under assumption that h_1, h_2 are meromorphic functions correspondingly in domains $\Gamma_- = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| > 1\}$ and $\Gamma_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$, is considered in [7]. In [7] we obtained some explicit formulas for the partial indices of MF of the form (1). In the present paper for this class of matrix-functions we suggest a constructive method of recovering factors G_{\pm} . The matrix-function factorization problem (1) is reduced to a successive factorization of two scalar functions and to the solution of explicit finite systems of linear equations.

2°. We assume below that

$$q_-(0) \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

This assumption is not essential by the following reason. If $q_-(0) = 0$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$ are such that $q_-(\alpha) \neq 0$, then it is not difficult to verify, that MF $G_{\zeta} = G \circ \zeta$, where $\zeta(z) = (z + \alpha)/(1 + \bar{\alpha}z)$, also has structure of (1), if one replaces q_- with $\hat{q}_-(t) = (1 + \bar{\alpha}t)^{v_+} q_-(\zeta(t))$. One can easily see, that $q_-(0) = q_-(\alpha) \neq 0$. Besides, since ζ maps Γ_+ into Γ_+ , then factorization of MF G in a simple way is restored by factorization of G_{ζ} .

3°. We consider functions

$$V_1 = \tau^N (q_- G_{11} - p_+ G_{12}) / (q_+ q_-), \quad V_2 = \tau^N (\tau^{-N} q_+ G_{22} - p_- G_{12}) / (q_+ q_-). \quad (3)$$

Further, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied

$$V_i(t) \neq 0 \quad (t \in \Gamma). \quad (4)$$

Factorization of MF G exists, if and only if conditions (4) are satisfied (see [7]).

Assume that $\chi_i = \text{ind } V_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{var arg } V_i(t) \Big|_{t \in \Gamma}$, $i=1,2$, $\chi_0 = \max\{\chi_1, \chi_2\}$, $v_- = N_+(\chi_1 - \chi_2)$,

$$V_i^{\pm} = \exp \mathcal{P}_0^{\pm}(\ln(t^{-\chi_i} V_i)), \quad i=1,2, \quad V_{12}^{\pm} = V_2^{\pm} \exp \mathcal{P}_0^{\pm} \left(\frac{\tau^{N-\chi_1} G_{12}}{V_1^- V_2^+ q_+ q_-} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$V_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1^{\pm} & V_{12}^{\pm} \\ 0 & V_2^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The MF $G_0 = \tau^{-z_0} G$ allows representation $G_0 = AB$ (see [7]), where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_+}{t^N} & 0 \\ p_- & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{z_1 - z_0} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{z_2 - z_0} \end{pmatrix} V_-, \quad B = V_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p_+ & q_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $j \in Z$ we denote $\hat{j} = \frac{1}{2}(j + |j|)$, $\check{j} = \frac{1}{2}(j - |j|)$. We define also a MF $U = \tau^{-v_-} P_{v_-}^- (B^{-1}) P_{v_-}^+ (A^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{A}_j$ ($j > -v_-$, $\hat{j} + v_- > 1$), $\mathcal{U}_j, \mathcal{K}_j$ ($j > -v_-$) by following formulas:

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-1-\check{j}} & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-2-\check{j}} & \dots & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-v_- - \hat{j} + 1} \\ \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-2-\check{j}} & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-3-\check{j}} & \dots & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-v_- - \hat{j}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-v_+} & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-v_+ - 1} & \dots & \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-(v_+ + v_-) + 2 - \hat{j}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{v_- - 1} & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{v_- - 2} & \dots & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{1 - \hat{j}} \\ 0 & 0 & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{v_- - 1} & \dots & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{2 - \hat{j}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{v_- - 1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \langle U \rangle_{-1-\check{j}} & \langle U \rangle_{-2-\check{j}} & \dots & \langle U \rangle_{-v_- - \hat{j}} \\ \langle U \rangle_{-2-\check{j}} & \langle U \rangle_{-3-\check{j}} & \dots & \langle U \rangle_{-v_- - \hat{j} - 1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle U \rangle_{-v_+} & \langle U \rangle_{-v_+ - 1} & \dots & \langle U \rangle_{-(v_+ + v_-) + 1 - \hat{j}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{U}_j + \mathcal{B}_j \mathcal{A}_j \text{ for } v_- + \hat{j} > 1, \mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{U}_j \text{ for } v_- + \hat{j} = 1. \quad (10)$$

Results of [7] imply, that partial indices of MF G_0 are equal to $-v_- + v_0$ and $v_+ - v_0$, where $v_0 = \text{card} \{j; r_j = 2\theta_j + r_{j-1}, j = -v_- + 1, \dots, v_+\}$. Here $r_{-v_-} = v_-$, $r_j = \text{rang} \mathcal{K}_j$ for $j > -v_-$ (particularly, $r_{v_+} = v_+$), $\theta_j = 1$ when $j > 0$, and $\theta_j = 0$ when $j < 0$, $j \in Z$. We denote $\xi_1 = -v_- + v_0 + 1$, $\xi_2 = v_+ - v_0 + 1$.

4°. We denote by \mathcal{L}_j ($j < 0$) the space of vector-polynomials of z^{-1} of the form $\sum_{k=j}^{-1} \varphi_k z^k$, where $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{C}^2$. For $j=0$ we assume that $\mathcal{L}_0 = \{0\}$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^2$. We define operators $\Psi_j : \mathbb{C}^{2(v_+ + \hat{j})} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-(v_- + \hat{j})}$, $\Psi_j q = \sum_{k=-(v_- + \hat{j})}^{-1} q_k t^k$, where $q = [q_{-(v_- + \hat{j})} \dots q_{-1}]$, $q_k \in \mathbb{C}^2$ ($k = -(v_- + \hat{j}), \dots, -1$).

We define the families of Hankel operators $H_j^- : \overset{\circ}{W}_-^2 \rightarrow W_+^2$, $H_j^+ : W_+^2 \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{W}_-^2$ ($j \in Z$) and Toeplitz operators $T_j : W_+^2 \rightarrow W_+^2$ ($j \in Z$) by formula

$$H_j^- \varphi = \mathcal{P}_0^+ \left(\tau^{\hat{j}} (A^{-1} \varphi) \right), \quad H_j^+ \varphi = \mathcal{P}_0^- \left(\tau^{\bar{j}} (B^{-1} \varphi) \right), \quad T_j \varphi = \mathcal{P}_0^+ \left(\tau^{-j} G_0 \varphi \right).$$

Reasoning by analogy to the proof of Proposition 3 in [7], one can verify that for $j > -\nu_-$ the kernels of finite-dimensional operators $\mathcal{K}_j = H_j^+ H_j^- \Big|_{\mathcal{L}_{-(\nu_+ + j)}}$ are connected with kernels \mathcal{K}_j by relations: $\ker \mathcal{K}_j = \Psi_j \ker \mathcal{K}_j$. The Lemma in [7] implies

$$N_j = \ker T_j = \left\{ \tau^{\bar{j}} B^{-1} \mathcal{P}_0^+ \left(\tau^{\hat{j}} A^{-1} \Psi_j q \right); q \in \ker \mathcal{K}_j \right\}, \quad j > -\nu_- . \quad (11)$$

The Toeplitz operators T_j may be defined (by the same formula) on wider spaces $L_2^+ = \mathcal{P}_0^+(L_2(\Gamma))$. Note that in this case kernels $N_j = \ker T_j$ are not extendable. Indeed, since $G_0 \in W^{2 \times 2}$, then its any factorization in L_2 is a factorization in W (see [2]). And since any solution $T_j \varphi = 0$ in L_2^+ has the form $G_+^{-1} p$, where p is a polynomial, then φ belongs also to W_+^2 .

Along with the spaces N_j ($j \in Z$) we consider also hereditary spaces $\widehat{N}_j = N_j + \tau N_j = \{ \varphi + \tau \psi; \varphi, \psi \in N_j \}$. Taking into account the abovementioned remark, it is easy to see that $\widehat{N}_j \subset N_{j+1}$, $j \in Z$. The direct complement M_j of the space \widehat{N}_j in N_{j+1} is called $(2, j)_+$ -index subspace of MF G_0 . It is known (see [8]) that $N_j = \{0\}$ for $j \leq \xi_1 - 1$, and $N_j = \widehat{N}_{j-1}$ for all $j \in Z \setminus \{ \xi_1, \xi_2 \}$. Besides, the following statement is true.

Proposition 1. If $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, then spaces M_{ξ_1-1} , M_{ξ_2-1} are one-dimensional, and if $\xi_1 = \xi_2$, then the unique nonzero $(2, j)_+$ -index subspace M_{ξ_1-1} is two-dimensional.

Proof. Since MF G_0 allows factorization in the sense of the Section 1°, then it allows factorization in the space L_2 (see [5]), and, therefore, it has a finite $(r_+, 2)$ -indexation (see [9], Theorem 4). Besides, the $(r_+, 2)$ -partial indices coincide with $\xi_1 - 1$, $\xi_2 - 1$. Consequently, due to Theorem 5 in [8], $\dim M_{\xi_1-1} + \dim M_{\xi_2-1} = 2$, if $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, and $\dim M_{\xi_1-1} = 2$, if $\xi_1 = \xi_2$. Taking into account that $\dim M_{\xi_i-1}$ ($i=1, 2$) are nonzero spaces (see [9]), we complete the proof of Proposition 1.

We choose vector-functions (VF) φ_1 and φ_2 as follows. Assume, that for $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ φ_1 and φ_2 are bases for index subspaces M_{ξ_1-1} and M_{ξ_2-1} respectively, and VFs φ_1 and φ_2 are a basis of subspace M_{ξ_1-1} when $\xi_1 = \xi_2$.

We define $G_+^{-1} = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$ (i.e. columns of MF G_+^{-1} are formed with VFs φ_1 and φ_2), $A_0 = \text{diag}[t^{\xi_1-1}, t^{\xi_2-1}]$ and $G_- = G_0 G_+^{-1} A_0^{-1}$, then the following statement is true.

Proposition 2. The representation $G_0 = G_- A_0 G_+$ is a factorization of MF G_0 .

Proof. G_0 has a finite $(r_+, 2)$ -indexation with $(r_+, 2)$ -partial indices $\xi_1 - 1$, $\xi_2 - 1$, and, therefore, due to Theorem 2 from [9], the representation $G_0 = G_- A_0 G_+$ is a $(r_+, 2)$ -index factorization. It follows from Theorem 4 [9], that G_0 is a factorization in L_2 as well. Since $G \in W^{2 \times 2}$, then a factorization in L_2 coincides with factorization in W , i.e. with factorization in the sense of the Section 1° (see [2]). The proof is thus completed.

5°. We introduce the spaces $N_j(0) = \{\varphi(0); \varphi \in N_j\}$, $\widehat{N}_j(0) = \{\varphi(0); \varphi \in \widehat{N}_j\}$ and $M_j(0) = \{\varphi(0); \varphi \in M_j\}$. Obviously, $\widehat{N}_j(0) = N_j(0)$, $N_j(0) = \{0\}$ when $j \leq \xi_1 - 1$, and $M_j(0) = \{0\}$ when $j \notin \{\xi_1 - 1, \xi_2 - 1\}$. Let $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. Since $\det G_+^{-1}(0) \neq 0$, then Propositions 1 and 2 imply $\dim M_{\xi_1-1}(0) = \dim M_{\xi_2-1}(0) = 1$ and $M_{\xi_1-1}(0) \dot{+} M_{\xi_2-1}(0) = \mathbb{C}^2$. Hence, taking into account the equalities $N_j(0) \cap M_j(0) = \{0\}$, $N_{j+1}(0) = N_j(0) \dot{+} M_j(0)$, $j \in Z$ (see [8]), we get $N_{\xi_1}(0) = \dots = N_{\xi_2-1}(0) = M_{\xi_1-1}(0)$ and $N_j = \mathbb{C}^2$ for $j \geq \xi_2$. For $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ we have $\dim M_{\xi_1-1}(0) = 2$, and for $j \geq \xi_2$ we get $N_j = \mathbb{C}^2$.

6°. For $j \in Z$, satisfying the inequality $\nu_- + \hat{j} \geq 1$, we define matrices \mathcal{K}'_j by formula $\mathcal{K}'_j = \left[\left\langle A^{-1} \right\rangle_{\nu_- - \hat{j}} \dots \left\langle A^{-1} \right\rangle_{1 - \hat{j}} \right]$. Vectors $\bar{q}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{2(\nu_- + \hat{\xi}_1)}$, $\bar{q}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2(\nu_- + \hat{\xi}_2)}$ are called a factorization pair for the MF G , if $\bar{q}_i \in \ker \mathcal{K}'_{\xi_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$), and vectors $\mathcal{K}'_{\xi_1} \bar{q}_1$, $\mathcal{K}'_{\xi_2} \bar{q}_2$ are nonzero and linearly independent. Obviously, the existence of factorization pair \bar{q}_1 , \bar{q}_2 is equivalent to the existence of nonzero linearly independent vectors $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}'_{\xi_i} & 0 \\ \mathcal{K}'_{\xi_i} & -E_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (12)$$

where E_2 is a unity matrix.

Proposition 3. MF G possesses a factorization pair.

Proof. Assume that $\nu_- + \hat{j} > 0$ and $\varphi \in N_j$. Due to (11) there exists $\bar{q} = [q_{-(\nu_- + \hat{j})} \dots q_{-1}] \in \ker \mathcal{K}'_j$ ($q_s \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $s = -(\nu_- + \hat{j}), \dots, j - 1$), such that $\varphi(t) = t^{\hat{j}} B^{-1}(t) \mathcal{P}_0^+ \left(t^{\hat{j}} A^{-1} \Psi_j q \right)$. Using equality $(\Psi_j q)(t) = \sum_{k=-(\nu_- + \hat{j})}^{-1} q_k t^k$, one can verify that

$$\varphi(t) = B^{-1}(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-(v_-+\hat{j})}^{-1} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m-k-\hat{j}} q_k \right) t^{m+\check{j}}. \quad (13)$$

$B(0)$ is an invertible matrix, and hence $N_j(0) = \{B^{-1}(0)\mathcal{K}'_j\bar{q}; \bar{q} \in \ker \mathcal{K}_j\}$. Now the existence of the factorization pair follows from the properties of spaces $N_j(0)$ (see Section 5^o). Proposition is thus proved. Note also that in the neighborhood of $z=0$ the function $B(z)\varphi(z)$ is analytical, and, therefore,

$$\sum_{k=-(v_-+\hat{j})}^{-1} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m-k-\hat{j}} q_k = 0, \quad m=0,1,\dots,\check{j}-1. \quad (14)$$

7^o. The main result of the present paper is the following

Theorem. Let \bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2 be a factorization pair for G , $\chi_i = \xi_i + \chi_0$,

$$\varphi_i = t^{\xi_i} B^{-1}(t) H_{\xi_i}^- \Psi_{\xi_i} \bar{q}_i, \quad i=1,2, \quad (15)$$

$$G_+^{-1} = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2], \quad \Lambda(t) = \text{diag}[t^{\chi_1}, t^{\chi_2}], \quad G_- = GG_+^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}.$$

Then the representation $G = G_- \Lambda G_+$ is a factorization of MF G .

Proof. It follows from the definition of factorization pair that $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_i}' q_i = 0$ ($i=1,2$). Due to (11), VFs φ_j , defined by the equality (15), belong to spaces N_{ξ_i} .

We consider first the case $\xi_1 = \xi_2$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_1}' \bar{q}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_2}' \bar{q}_2$ are linearly independent and $\det B(0) \neq 0$, then $\varphi_1(0)$ and $\varphi_2(0)$ are linearly independent as well. Therefore, VFs φ_1, φ_2 are also linearly independent. We have $N_{\xi_1} = M_{\xi_1-1}$, and hence φ_1, φ_2 form a basis of M_{ξ_1-1} , and the proof of Theorem follows from Proposition 2.

Assume now $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$, i.e. $\varphi_1 \neq 0$, since $\det B(0) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_1}' \bar{q}_1 \neq 0$. Further, $M_{\xi_1-1} = N_{\xi_1}$ is an one-dimensional space, and, therefore, φ_1 is the basis of this space. Since $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_1}' \bar{q}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\xi_2}' \bar{q}_2$ are linearly independent, then vectors $\varphi_1(0), \varphi_2(0)$ are also linearly independent. Taking into account that $N_{\xi_1-1}(0) = N_{\xi_1}(0) = \text{span}\{\varphi_1(0)\}$, we obtain that $\varphi_2(0) \notin N_{\xi_1-1}(0) = \widehat{N}_{\xi_2}(0)$. Thus, $\varphi_2 \in N_{\xi_2}$, but $\varphi_2 \notin \widehat{N}_{\xi_2-1}$, i.e. φ_2 belongs to some subspace M_{ξ_2-1} . It remains to apply the Proposition 2.

Theorem is thus proved.

Basing on the Theorem proved above we suggest the following scheme of constructing a factorization of the MF G :

1. Constructing MF V_{\pm} according to (5), (6).
2. Constructing matrices \mathcal{K}_j ($j = -v_- + 1, \dots, v_+$) according to (7)–(10).
3. Determining numbers $r_j = \text{rang} \mathcal{K}_j$ ($j = -v_- + 1, \dots, v_+$), $r_{-v_-} = v_-$, v_0, ξ_i, χ_i ($i=1,2$).
4. Constructing a factorization pair according to (12).
5. Recovering the factorization of the MF G by means of formulas (15).

REFERENCES

1. **Clancey K. and Gohberg I.** Factorization of Matrix-Functions and Singular Integral Operators in Operator Theory: Advances and Appl. 3. Basel: Birkhäuser, 1981.
2. **Litvinchuk G.S. and Spitkovskii I.M.** Factorization of Matrix-Functions. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1987.
3. **Gavdzinskii V.N., Spitkovskii I.M.** Ukr. Mat. J., 1982, v. 34(1), p. 15–19 (in Russian).
4. **Feldman I., Gohberg I., Krupnik N.** IEOT, 2004, v. 49, p. 149–164.
5. **Prösdorf S., Speck F.O.** Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 1930, 115A, p. 119–138.
6. **Amirjanyan H.A. and Kamalyan A.G.** Izv. NAN Armenii, Matematika, 2007, v. 42(6), p. 31–50 (in Russian).
7. **Kamalyan A.G. and Sargsyan A.V.** Izv. NAN Armenii, Matematika, 2007, v. 42(3), p. 39–48 (in Russian).
8. **Kamalyan A.G.** Doklady NAN Armenii, 2007, v. 107(4), p. 316–322 (in Russian).
9. **Kamalyan A.G.** Doklady NAN Armenii, 2008, v. 108(1), p. 5–11 (in Russian).

Ա. Վ. Սարգսյան

Երկրորդ կարգի որոշ դասի մատրից-ֆունկցիաների ֆակտորացման մասին

Աշխատանքում առաջարկվում է երկրորդ կարգի որոշ դասի մատրից-ֆունկցիաների ֆակտորացման եղանակ: Այդ մատրից-ֆունկցիաների ստորին ձախ տարրը գրվում է մատրից-ֆունկցիայի մնացած երեք տարրերի և երկու մերոմորֆ ֆունկցիաների համակցությամբ, որոնք համապատասխանաբար որոշված են միավոր շրջանագծի արտաքին և ներքին տիրույթներում:

А. В. Саргсян.

О факторизации одного класса матриц-функций второго порядка

В работе предлагается метод построения факторизации одного класса матриц-функций второго порядка. Левый нижний элемент этих матриц-функций записывается с помощью комбинаций остальных трех элементов и двух мероморфных функций, которые определяются соответственно внутри и вне единичного круга.