

Mathematics

ON SOME SINGULAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS ON THE SEMI-AXIS

V. V. SIMONYAN*

Chair of Differential Equations and Functional Analysis, YSU

In this paper the non-characteristic singular integral equations on the semi-axis are discussed. The solution of these equations is reduced to the solution of one-dimensional pseudo-differential equations. Some examples of singular equations for which explicit solutions exist are provided.

Keywords: singular equation, pseudo-differential equation, matrix coupling.

1⁰. Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a two-point compactification of $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ – the Banach algebra of all complex valued continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. What follows, $\rho(z) = z^\beta$ ($-1 < \beta < 1$) is understood as the branch of this function that is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0)$ and assumes positive values on the positive semi-axis $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$. Let Σ_β be a subalgebra of all linear bounded operators acting from and to the weighted space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$, generated by operators I and $S_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, where $S_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a singular integral operator acting along \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$(S_{\mathbb{R}_+} y)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau - x} d\tau.$$

Here the integral is perceived in terms of the main value. The notation F means the following Fourier transform:

$$(Fy)(\xi) = \hat{y}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ix\xi} y(x) dx \quad (y \in L_2(\mathbb{R}), \xi \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and γ is the operator continuously reflecting $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ according to formula $(\gamma y)(x) = e^{sx} y(e^{\alpha x})$, where $\alpha > 0$, $\sigma = \alpha(\beta + 1)/2$ and $s = \sigma + i\xi$. It is not difficult to ascertain that the operator $\gamma^{-1}F$ is the Mellin transform.

Below the multiplicative operator by function u is denoted as A_u (i.e. $A_u y = uy$). For any function $a \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ the operator $K_a = \gamma^{-1}F A_a F^{-1}\gamma$ belongs to algebra Σ_β (see, e.g., [1, 2]).

* E-mail: vahan@simonyans.com

As is well known, the characteristic equation $(A_a + S_{\mathbb{R}_+} A_b)y = f$ ($y, f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$) admits the explicit solution [3]. The present paper is devoted to the investigation of “difficult” singular integral operators of a type $\tilde{K} = \sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{\psi_m}$ ($\varphi_m \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}), \psi_m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$), acting from $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ to itself.

Let $\mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ ($r \geq 0$) be Sobolev–Slobodetski spaces of the generalized functions u , the Fourier transform \hat{u} of which belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R}, (1+|x|)^r)$ space. Following [4], the class of locally integrable functions A on \mathbb{R} , complying to condition $|A(\xi)| \leq c(1+|\xi|)^r$, is denoted as \mathbb{S}_r^0 .

Let $\psi_0(x) = (1 + |\alpha^{-1} \ln x|)^r$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_+$) and functions $\psi_i \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$). Now determine the functions $A_0 = \psi_0 \circ l$, $A_m = (\psi_m \circ l) A_0$ ($m = 1, \dots, N$) on \mathbb{R} , where $l(x) = e^{\alpha x}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$). It follows from here that $\psi_0 = A_0 \circ h$ and $\psi_m = (A_m \circ h) \psi_0^{-1}$ ($m = 1, \dots, N$), where $h(x) = \alpha^{-1} \ln x$ when $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. It is obvious that $A_m \in \mathbb{S}_r^0$ for all $m = 0, \dots, N$.

Let us consider a operator $A(x, D)$ with a symbol $A(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^N \varphi_m(x) A_m(t)$:

$$A(x, D)u = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt} A(x, t) \hat{u}(t) dt.$$

Since $A(x, D)u = \sum_{m=1}^N A_{\varphi_m} A_m(D)u$, then for $r \geq 0$ the reflection $A(x, D)$ may be prolonged till the continuous reflection from $\mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [4]).

Below the solution of “difficult” equation $\tilde{K}z = g$ is reduced to a pseudo-differential equation $A(x, D)y = f$ for some f . The examples of “difficult” singular integral equations investigated based on this relationship are provided.

2⁰. Let X_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) be linear spaces on the field of complex numbers, $\omega_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, $\omega_2: X_2 \rightarrow X_1$, $\omega_3: X_1 \rightarrow X_3$, $\omega_4: X_4 \rightarrow X_1$ are linear reflections and ω_4 is an invertible reflection. Let us consider the linear reflections

$$\begin{aligned} T: X_2 &\rightarrow X_2 \oplus X_3, & K: X_4 &\rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_3, \\ A_{12}: X_1 \oplus X_3 &\rightarrow X_2 \oplus X_3, & A_{21}: X_2 &\rightarrow X_4, \\ A_{22}: X_1 \oplus X_3 &\rightarrow X_4, & B_{11}: X_2 \oplus X_3 &\rightarrow X_2, \\ B_{12}: X_4 &\rightarrow X_2, & B_{21}: X_2 \oplus X_3 &\rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_3, \end{aligned}$$

determined by equations

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} I_{X_2} + \omega_1 \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_1 & 0 \\ -\omega_3 & I_{X_3} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{21} = [-\omega_4^{-1}\omega_2], \quad A_{22} = [\omega_4^{-1} \quad 0], \quad B_{11} = [I_{X_2} \quad 0], \quad B_{12} = [\omega_1\omega_4],$$

$$B_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{X_3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_4 + \omega_2\omega_1\omega_4 \\ \omega_3\omega_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By means of direct calculations it is easy to make sure of the validity of equality

$$\begin{bmatrix} T & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & K \end{bmatrix}.$$

Based on this equality and results of [5] the following statement is derived.

Assignment 1. If $K^{(-1)}$ is pseudo-inverse of K , then the reflection

$$T^{(-1)} = B_{11} - B_{12}K^{(-1)}B_{21}$$

is pseudo-inverse of T . Similarly, if $T^{(-1)}$ is pseudo-inverse of T , then

$$K^{(-1)} = A_{22} - A_{21}T^{(-1)}A_{12}$$

is pseudo-inverse of K . Spaces $\text{Ker}T$ and $\text{Ker}K$ are isomorphic. Besides, the following equalities are valid:

$$\text{Ker}T = B_{12}\text{Ker}K, \quad \text{Ker}K = A_{21}\text{Ker}T,$$

$$\text{Im}T = B_{21}^{-1}\text{Im}K, \quad \text{Im}K = A_{12}^{-1}\text{Im}T.$$

3⁰. Let $\mathbb{M}_r(\mathbb{R})$ be some direct addition to the linear space $\mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ space, and

$$\pi_1 : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R}), \quad \pi_2 : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_r(\mathbb{R})$$

are projection operators that are related by the ratio

$$\pi_1 y + \pi_2 y = y, \quad y \in L_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let us determine a space $W = \{f : \psi_0^{-1}f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)\}$. Then, apply the Assignment 1 for spaces

$$X_1 = L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho), \quad X_2 = \mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R}), \quad X_3 = \mathbb{M}_r(\mathbb{R}), \quad X_4 = W$$

and operators

$$\omega_1 = \pi_1 F^{-1}\gamma, \quad \omega_2 = \sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} \gamma^{-1} F A_m(D) - \gamma^{-1} F, \quad \omega_3 = \pi_2 F^{-1}\gamma, \quad \omega_4 = A_{\psi_0^{-1}}.$$

By using the equality $F^{-1}\gamma K_{\varphi_m} \gamma^{-1} F = A_{\varphi_m}$ ($m=1, \dots, N$) it is easy to ascertain that the operator T acting from $\mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ coincides with $A(x, D)$.

Lemma 1. Let

$$f = (\tilde{f}, 0) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho) \oplus \mathbb{M}_r(\mathbb{R}).$$

The function z is the solution of equation $Kz = f$, iff $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ and $\tilde{K}z = \tilde{f}$.

Proof. Let z be the solution of equation $Kz = f$, that is

$$\omega_4 z + \omega_2 \omega_1 \omega_4 z = \tilde{f}, \quad \omega_3 \omega_4 z = 0. \quad (1)$$

First prove that $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$. Really, from the second equation of (1) it follows that $g = F^{-1}\gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z \in \mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, $\gamma z = F A_0(D)g \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$,

that is $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$. Note now that from (1) it also follows that $\pi_1 F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z = F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z$. From the first equation of (1) it follows that $\tilde{K}z = \tilde{f}$.

Let $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ and $\tilde{K}z = \tilde{f}$. From equality $F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z = A_0^{-1}(D) F^{-1} \gamma z$ it follows that

$$\omega_3 \omega_4 z = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{K}z = \tilde{f}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{\psi_m} \right) z &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{A_m \circ h} A_{\psi_0^{-1}} \right) z = \left(A_{\psi_0^{-1}} + \left(\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{A_m \circ h} - I \right) A_{\psi_0^{-1}} \right) z = \\ &= \left(A_{\psi_0^{-1}} + \left(\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} \gamma^{-1} F A_m(D) - \gamma^{-1} F \right) F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} \right) z = \\ &= \left(A_{\psi_0^{-1}} + \left(\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} \gamma^{-1} F A_m(D) - \gamma^{-1} F \right) \pi_1 F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} \right) z = \\ &= (\omega_4 + \omega_2 \omega_1 \omega_4) z = \tilde{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equalities are correct, that is $Kz = f$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 1. To ensure that function $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{\psi_m} z = g \quad (g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)), \quad (2)$$

it is necessary and sufficient that function $y = F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z$ satisfy the equation

$$A(x, D)y = F^{-1} \gamma g \quad (F^{-1} \gamma g \in L_2(\mathbb{R})). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let the function $z \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$ be a solution of equation (2). Acting by operator $F^{-1} \gamma$ on both the parts of the equation (2) (it is feasible, since the functions ψ_m ($m = 1, \dots, N$) are bounded), we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^N F^{-1} \gamma K_{\varphi_m} A_{A_m \circ h} A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z = F^{-1} \gamma g.$$

Taking into account the equalities $A_{A_m \circ h} = \gamma^{-1} F A_m(D) F^{-1} \gamma$ and $F^{-1} \gamma K_{\varphi_m} \gamma^{-1} F = A_{\varphi_m}$ ($m = 1, \dots, N$), we find

$$\sum_{m=1}^N A_{\varphi_m} A_m(D) y = F^{-1} \gamma g.$$

Earlier, it has been shown that $y = F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z \in \mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ (see the proof of Lemma 1).

Vice versa, now let the function $y \in \mathbb{H}_r(\mathbb{R})$ be the solution of equation (3). Then it is true that $z = A_{\psi_0} \gamma^{-1} F y \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$, the proof of which is provided in Lemma 1.

At the insertion of $y = F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z$ into (3), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^N A_{\varphi_m} A_m(D) F^{-1} \gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}} z = F^{-1} \gamma g.$$

Taking into account the equality $A_{\varphi_m} = F^{-1}\gamma K_{\varphi_m}\gamma^{-1}F$ ($m=1, \dots, N$), we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^N F^{-1}\gamma K_{\varphi_m}\gamma^{-1}FA_m(D)F^{-1}\gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}}z = F^{-1}\gamma g. \quad (4)$$

Acting by operator $\gamma^{-1}F$ on both the parts of equality (4), we find

$$\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m}\gamma^{-1}FA_m(D)F^{-1}\gamma A_{\psi_0^{-1}}z = g.$$

By using equality $\gamma^{-1}FA_m(D)F^{-1}\gamma = A_{A_m \circ h}$ ($m=1, \dots, N$), we find that

$\sum_{m=1}^N K_{\varphi_m} A_{\psi_0^{-1}}z = g$, i.e. the function z is the solution of equation (2).

The Theorem is proved.

4⁰. In case of $N=2$, $\varphi_1(x)=1$ and $\varphi_2(x) = \left[\operatorname{ch} \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right) \right]^{-2}$ we

obtain $K_{\varphi_1} = I$ and $(K_{\varphi_2}y)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} y(\xi) \frac{\ln \xi - \ln x}{\xi - x} d\xi$.

Now, let us highlight two examples.

Example 1. Let $A_1(\xi) = -\xi^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha^2}$, $A_2(\xi) = 2\frac{\pi^2}{\alpha^2}$ and $r=2$, then we have

$$A(x, D)y(x) = y''(x) + \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha^2} \left(2 \left[\operatorname{ch} \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right) \right]^{-2} - 1 \right) y(x),$$

$$\widetilde{K}z(x) = \frac{-(\alpha^{-1} \ln x)^2 - \pi^2/\alpha^2}{(1 + |\alpha^{-1} \ln x|)^2} z(x) + \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{z(\xi)}{(1 + |\alpha^{-1} \ln \xi|)^2} \cdot \frac{\ln \xi - \ln x}{\xi - x} d\xi.$$

Taking into account that functions

$$y_1(x) = e^{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}x} \left[1 + e^{\frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right)} \right]^{-1}, \quad y_2(x) = e^{-\frac{\pi}{\alpha}x} \left[1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right)} \right]^{-1}$$

are solutions of equation $A(x, D)y = 0$ from class $\mathbb{H}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain according to the Assignment 1 that functions $z_k(x) = -\omega_4^{-1}\omega_2 y_k(x)$ ($k=1, 2$) are the basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{K}$.

Example 2. Let $A_1(\xi) = -a_1 i \xi + a_2$, $A_2(\xi) = b_1 i \xi - b_2$ and $r=1$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we find

$$A(x, D)y(x) = \left(a_1 - b_1 \left[\operatorname{ch} \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right) \right]^{-2} \right) y'(x) + \left(a_2 - b_2 \left[\operatorname{ch} \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left(x - \xi + i \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \right) \right]^{-2} \right) y(x),$$

$$\widetilde{K}z(x) = \frac{-a_1 i \alpha^{-1} \ln x + a_2}{1 + |\alpha^{-1} \ln x|} z(x) - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{-b_1 i \alpha^{-1} \ln \xi + b_2}{1 + |\alpha^{-1} \ln \xi|} \cdot \frac{\ln \xi - \ln x}{\xi - x} z(\xi) d\xi.$$

Taking into account that the only solution of equation $A(x, D)y(x) = 0$ does not belong to class $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain that equation $\tilde{K}z(x) = 0$ does not have any solutions from $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, \rho)$.

Received 14.09.2010

REFERENCES

1. **Kamalyan A.H., Nersesyan A.B.** AN SSSR, Funk. Analiz i Ego Prilozh., 1989, v. 23, № 2, p. 32–39 (in Russian).
2. **Roch S., Silbermann B.** Algebras of Convolution Operators and their Image in the Calkin Algebra. Berlin, 1990.
3. **Gohberg I., Krupnik N.** One-Dimensional Linear Singular Integral Equations. V. I, II. Basel–Boston–Berlin, 1992.
4. **Eskin G.I.** Boundary Problems for Elliptic Pseudo-Differential Equations. M.: Nauka, 1973 (in Russian).
5. **Bart H., Gohberg I., Kaashoek M.A.** The Coupling Method for Solving Integral Equations. Advances and Applications. Basel: Birkhauser, Verlag, 1984, v. 12, p. 39–73.