

Mathematics

ON q -BILATTICES

D. S. DAVIDOVA*

Chair of Algebra and Geometry YSU, Armenia

In this paper the concept of q -bilattice is studied. Interlaced q -bilattices are characterized by the pair of congruencies.

Keywords: q -semilattice, q -lattice, q -bilattice, an interlaced q -bilattice, hyperidentity.

1. Introduction. Bilattices are algebraic structures that were introduced by Ginsberg [1, 2] as a general and uniform framework for a diversity of applications in artificial intelligence. In a series of papers it was shown that these structures may serve as a foundation for many areas, such as logic programming [3–5].

A bilattice is an algebra $(L; \cap, \cup, *, \Delta)$ with four binary operations, for which the following two reducts $L_1 = (L; \cap, \cup)$ and $L_2 = (L; *, \Delta)$ are lattices.

The bilattice is called interlaced, if all the basic bilattice operations are order preserving with respect to both orders.

In papers [1, 3, 6, 7] bounded distributive or interlaced bilattices were studied. In [8] interlaced bilattices without bounds were characterized (see also [9, 10]).

The algebra $(L; \cap)$ is called a q -semilattice, if it satisfies the following identities:

1. $a \cap b = b \cap a$;
2. $a \cap (b \cap c) = (a \cap b) \cap c$;
3. $a \cap (b \cap b) = a \cap b$.

The algebra $(L; \cap, \cup)$ is called a q -lattice (see [11]), if the reducts $(L; \cap)$ and $(L; \cup)$ are q -semilattices and the following identities $a \cap (b \cup a) = a \cap a$, $a \cup (b \cap a) = a \cup a$, $a \cap a = a \cup a$ are valid.

For each q -semilattice $(L; \cap)$ there is a corresponding quasiorder Q (i.e. a reflexive and transitive relation), defined in the following manner: $aQb \leftrightarrow a \cap b = a \cap a$. For each q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$, we have: $aQb \leftrightarrow a \cap b = a \cap a \leftrightarrow a \cup b = b \cup b$.

A q -bilattice is an algebraic structure $(L; \cap, \cup, *, \Delta)$ with two q -lattice reducts $L_1 = (L; \cap, \cup)$ and $L_2 = (L; *, \Delta)$, which also satisfies the following identity

* E-mail: di.davidova@yandex.ru

$a * a = a \cap a$. (The quasiorder of the first reduct $(L; \cap, \cup)$ is denoted by \leq_{\cap} , and the quasiorder of the second reduct by \leq_*).

The operation $*$ of the q -semilattice $(L; *)$ is called interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup of the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$, if the q -semilattice operation $*$ preserves the q -lattice quasiorder, and q -lattice operations \cap and \cup preserve the q -semilattice quasiorder. Note that the operations of a q -lattice are interlaced with each other.

The q -bilattice $(L; \cap, \cup, *, \Delta)$ is called interlaced, if all the basic q -bilattice operations are quasiorder preserving with respect to both quasiorders.

In the present work interlaced q -bilattices are studied.

We need the concept of a hyperidentity and a superproduct of algebras [12, 13].

Let us recall that a hyperidentity is a second-ordered formula of the following type:

$$\forall X_1, \dots, X_m \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (w_1 = w_2),$$

where X_1, \dots, X_m are functional variables and x_1, \dots, x_n are objective variables in the words (terms) w_1, w_2 . Hyperidentities are usually written without quantifiers: $w_1 = w_2$. We say that the hyperidentity $w_1 = w_2$ is satisfied in the algebra $(Q; F)$, if this equality is valid, when every objective variable and every functional variable in it is replaced by any element of Q and by any operation of the corresponding arity from F (supposing the possibility of such replacement).

The reader is referred to [14–16] for characterization of hyperidentities of varieties of lattices, modular lattices, distributive lattices and Boolean algebras. For hyperidentities in thermal (polynomial) algebras (see [17, 18]).

For the categorical definition of a hyperidentity in [12] the (bi)homomorphisms between two algebras (Q, F) and (Q', F') are defined as a pair $(\varphi, \tilde{\psi})$ of maps:

$$\varphi : Q \rightarrow Q', \tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow F', |A| = |\tilde{\psi} A|,$$

with the following condition

$$\varphi A(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\tilde{\psi} A)(\varphi a_1, \dots, \varphi a_n)$$

for any $A \in F$, $|A| = n$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q$. For an application of such morphisms in the cryptography see [19].

Algebras and their (bi)homomorphisms $(\varphi, \tilde{\psi})$ (as morphisms) form a category with a product. The product in this category is called a superproduct of algebras and denoted by $Q \boxtimes Q'$ for algebras Q and Q' . For example, a superproduct of two q -lattices $Q(+, \cdot)$ and $Q'(+, \cdot)$ is the binary algebra $Q \times Q'((+, +), (\cdot, \cdot), (+, \cdot), (\cdot, +))$ with four binary operations, where the pairs of the operations act component-wise, i.e. $(A, B)((x, y), (u, v)) = (A(x, u), B(y, v))$, and $Q \boxtimes Q'$ is a q -bilattice. In fact, let us show that $Q \times Q'((+, +), (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $Q \times Q'((+, \cdot), (\cdot, +))$ are q -lattices and satisfy the identity $(+, +)((x, y), (x, y)) = (+, \cdot)((x, y), (x, y))$. The commutativity and associativity are obvious. For other identities we have:

- A. $((a,b)(+,+)(c,d))(+,+)(c,d) = ((a+c)+c, (b+d)+d) = (a+c, b+d) =$
 $= (a,b)(+,+)(c,d)$;
 $((a,b)(+,·)(c,d))(+,·)(c,d) = ((a+c)+c, (b·d)·d) = (a+c, b·d) = (a,b)(+,·)(c,d)$;
 $((a,b)(·,·)(c,d))(·,·)(c,d) = ((a·c)·c, (b+d)+d) = (a·c, b+d) = (a,b)(·,·)(c,d)$;
 $((a,b)(·,·)(c,d))(·,·)(c,d) = ((a·c)·c, (b·d)·d) = (a·c, b·d) = (a,b)(·,·)(c,d)$;
- B. $(a,b)(+,+)((c,d)(·,·)(a,b)) = (a+(c·a), b+(d·b)) = (a+a, b+b) =$
 $= (a,b)(+,+)(a,b)$;
 $(a,b)(·,·)((c,d)(+,+)(a,b)) = (a·(c+a), b·(d+b)) = (a·a, b·b) = (a,b)(·,·)(a,b)$;
 $(a,b)(+,·)((c,d)(·,·)(a,b)) = (a+(c·a), b·(d+b)) = (a+a, b·b) = (a,b)(+,·)(a,b)$;
 $(a,b)(·,·)((c,d)(+,·)(a,b)) = (a·(c+a), b+(d·b)) = (a·a, b+b) = (a,b)(·,·)(a,b)$;
- C. $(a,b)(+,+)(a,b) = (a+a, b+b) = (a·a, b·b) = (a,b)(·,·)(a,b)$;
 $(a,b)(+,·)(a,b) = (a+a, b·b) = (a·a, b+b) = (a,b)(·,·)(a,b)$;
- D. $(a,b)(+,+)(a,b) = (a+a, b+b) = (a+a, b·b) = (a,b)(+,·)(a,b)$.

It is easy to show that quasiorders on the q -lattices $Q \times Q'((+,+), (·,·))$ and $Q \times Q'((+,·), (·,+))$, which are denoted by the symbols \leq_I and \leq_{II} correspondingly, are defined by the following rules:

$$(a,b) \leq_I (c,d) \leftrightarrow a \leq_1 c \text{ and } b \leq_2 d ;$$

$$(a,b) \leq_{II} (c,d) \leftrightarrow a \leq_1 c \text{ and } d \leq_2 b ,$$

where \leq_1 and \leq_2 are the quasiorders on the q -lattices $Q(+,·)$ and $Q'(+,·)$. So,

$$(a,b) \leq_I (c,d) \& (e,f) \leq_I (g,h) \rightarrow a \leq_1 c \& b \leq_2 d \& e \leq_1 g \& f \leq_2 h \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow a+e \leq_1 c+g, a·e \leq_1 c·g \& b+f \leq_2 d+h, b·f \leq_2 d·h \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow (a+e, b+f) \leq_I (c+g, d+h) \& (a·e, b·f) \leq_I (c·g, d·h) ;$$

$$(a,b) \leq_{II} (c,d) \& (e,f) \leq_{II} (g,h) \rightarrow a \leq_1 c \& d \leq_2 b \& e \leq_1 g \& h \leq_2 f \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow a+e \leq_1 c+g, a·e \leq_1 c·g \& d+h \leq_2 b+f, d·h \leq_2 b·f \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow (a+e, b+f) \leq_{II} (c+g, d+h) \& (a·e, b·f) \leq_{II} (c·g, d·h) .$$

Hence, $Q \times Q'((+,+), (·,·), (+,·), (·,+))$ is an interlaced q -bilattice.

2. Some Lemmas.

2.1. Congruence relations Θ, Φ of a q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$ satisfying to the following conditions: $a\Theta a \cap a$ and $a\Phi a \cap a$, commute iff for each $a, b \in L$, $a \leq b \rightarrow a\Theta\Phi b$ is equivalent to $a\Phi\Theta b$

Proof. The condition is obviously necessary. Let's show that it is sufficient too. Suppose $x, y \in L$, $x\Theta z$ and $z\Phi y$, hence, $x \cap x\Theta z$, $z\Phi y \cap y$. Then $x \cap y \cap z\Phi x \cap z\Theta x \cap x$, and it follows that there exists $t \in L$ such that $x \cap y \cap z\Theta t\Phi x \cap x$, so $y \cup y\Theta y \cup t$. Further, $x \cap y \cap z\Theta y \cap z\Phi y \cap y$, then $y \cap z\Phi\Theta y \cup t$, $y \cap z\Theta\Phi y \cup t$ and $t\Theta y \cap z$, so $t\Theta\Phi y \cup t$, $x \cap x\Phi t\Theta\Phi y \cup t\Theta y \cup y$, hence, $x \cap x\Phi\Theta y \cap y$. This shows that $\Theta\Phi \leq \Phi\Theta$ so $\Theta\Phi = \Phi\Theta$. \square

2.2. The operation $*$ of a q -semilattice $(L; *)$ is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup of the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$, iff the following hyperidentity is satisfied in the algebra $(L; \cap, \cup, *)$:

$$X(Y(X(x,y), z), Y(y,z)) = X(Y(X(x,y), z), Y(X(x,y), z)) .$$

Proof. Let us show, for example, that $[(x \cap y) * z] \cap (y * z) = [(x \cap y) * z] \cap [(x \cap y) * z]$ follows from $x \leq_{\cap} y \rightarrow x * z \leq_{\cap} y * z$ and conversely.

(\rightarrow) $x \cap y \leq_{\cap} y$ for any $x, y \in L$. Then $(x \cap y) * z \leq_{\cap} y * z$. So, $[(x \cap y) * z] \cap (y * z) = [(x \cap y) * z] \cap [(x \cap y) * z]$.

(\leftarrow) $x * z \leq_{\cap} (x \cap x) * z \leq_{\cap} x * z$ for any $x, y, z \in L$, then $[(x \cap x) * z] \cap [(x \cap x) * z] = (x * z) \cap (x * z)$. Let $x \leq_{\cap} y$, then $x \cap y = x \cap x$. In that case $(x * z) \cap (y * z) = (x * z) \cap (x * z) \cap (y * z) = [(x \cap x) * z] \cap [(x \cap x) * z] \cap (y * z) = [(x \cap y) * z] \cap (y * z) = [(x \cap y) * z] \cap [(x \cap y) * z] = [(x \cap x) * z] \cap [(x \cap x) * z] = (x * z) \cap (x * z)$. Hence, $x * z \leq_{\cap} y * z$. \square

2.3. The operation $*$ of a q -semilattice $(L; *)$ is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup of the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$, for which $x * x = x \cap x$, iff the algebra $(L; \cap, \cup, *)$ satisfies the following hyperidentity:

$$X(Y(X(x, y), z), Y(y, z)) = Y(X(x, y), z). \quad \square$$

In the propositions 2.4–2.17 we suppose that $(L; \cap, \cup)$ is a q -lattice, $(L; *)$ is a q -semilattice and the operation $*$ is interlaced with the operations \cap, \cup and satisfies the identity $a \cap a = a * a$.

2.4. $x \cap y \leq_{\cap} x * y \leq_{\cap} x \cup y, x * y \leq_* x \cap y, x * y \leq_* x \cup y$.

2.5. $X(Y(x, y), Y(x, y)) = Y(x, y)$, where $X, Y \in \{\cap, \cup, *\}$ for any $x, y \in L$.

2.6. $a \leq_{\cap} x \leq_{\cap} b \ \& \ a \leq_* b \rightarrow a \leq_* x \leq_* b; a \leq_{\cap} x \leq_{\cap} b \ \& \ b \leq_* a \rightarrow b \leq_* x \leq_* a$.

Proof. If we suppose $a \leq_* b$, then $a \cap x \leq_* b \cap x$ and $a \cup x \leq_* b \cup x$. Since, $a \leq_{\cap} x \leq_{\cap} b$, then $a \cap a \leq_* x \cap x$ and $x \cap x \leq_* b \cap b$, hence, $a \leq_* x \leq_* b$. The second statement can be proved analogously. \square

2.7. $u \leq_{\cap} x \ \& \ u \leq_{\cap} y \ \& \ u \leq_* x \ \& \ u \leq_* y \rightarrow x \cap y = x * y;$

$$x \leq_{\cap} u \ \& \ y \leq_{\cap} u \ \& \ u \leq_* x \ \& \ u \leq_* y \rightarrow x \cup y = x * y.$$

Proof. We have $u \cap u \leq_{\cap} x \cap y \leq_* x * y$, $u \leq_* x$ and $u \leq_* y$, hence, $u \cap u = u * u \leq_* x * y$, then $x \cap y \leq_* x * y$. Similarly, $x * y \leq_* x \cap y$, so, $x * y \leq_* x \cap y \leq_* x * y$, hence, $x \cap y = x * y$. \square

2.8. Let the q -semilattice $(L; *)$ forms a q -lattice $(L; *, \Delta)$. Then

$$a \leq_{\cap} b \rightarrow a \leq_{\cap} a \Delta b \leq_{\cap} b.$$

Proof. By 2.4, $a \cap a = a * a = a * (a \Delta b) \leq_* a \cup (a \Delta b)$, then from $a \leq_{\cap} b$ we get $a * a = a \cap a = b \cap a = b \cap (a \cap a) \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]$, hence,

$$a * a \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]. \quad (1)$$

From $b \leq_* a \Delta b$ and $a \leq_{\cap} b$ we obtain $b \cap b = a \cup b \leq_* a \cup (a \Delta b)$, hence, $b * b = b \cap b = (b \cap b) \cap b \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]$, so,

$$b * b \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (a * a) \Delta (b * b) &\leq_* (b \cap [a \cup ((a \Delta b))]) \Delta (b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]) = \\ &= (b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]) \cap (b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]) = b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $a \Delta b \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a \Delta b)]$.

Further, from $a \leq_* a\Delta b$ it follows that

$$a \cup (a\Delta b) \leq_* (a\Delta b) \cup (a\Delta b) = a\Delta b, \quad a \cap (a\Delta b) \leq_* (a\Delta b) \cap (a\Delta b) = a\Delta b.$$

From $b \leq_* a\Delta b$ we deduce that $b \cup (a\Delta b) \leq_* a\Delta b, \quad b \cap (a\Delta b) \leq_* a\Delta b.$

So, $a\Delta b \leq_* b \cap [a \cup (a\Delta b)] \leq_* b \cap (a\Delta b) \leq_* a\Delta b$, hence,

$$[b \cap (a\Delta b)] * [b \cap (a\Delta b)] = (a\Delta b) * (a\Delta b).$$

$$[b \cap (a\Delta b)] * [b \cap (a\Delta b)] = [b \cap (a\Delta b)] \cap [b \cap (a\Delta b)] = b \cap (a\Delta b),$$

$$(a\Delta b) * (a\Delta b) = (a\Delta b) \cap (a\Delta b), \quad \text{hence, } b \cap (a\Delta b) = (a\Delta b) \cap (a\Delta b).$$

So, $a\Delta b \leq_\cap b$. The second part of the inequality can be proved the same way. \square

Define the relations θ_1 and θ_2 in $(L; \cap, \cup, *)$ as follows:

$$a\theta_1 b \leftrightarrow a * b = a \cup b; \quad a\theta_2 b \leftrightarrow a * b = a \cap b.$$

2.9. θ_2 is an equivalence relation in $(L; \cap, \cup)$.

Proof. Reflexivity and symmetry are clear. Let $a\theta_2 b$ and $b\theta_2 c$, then $a * b = a \cap b$ and $b * c = b \cap c$. Hence, $a \cap b \leq_* b$ and $b \cap c \leq_* b$. Then $a \cap b \cap c \leq_* b \cap c$ and $a \cap b \cap c \leq_* a \cap b$, hence, $(a \cap b \cap c) \cap (a \cap b \cap c) \leq_* (a \cap b) * (b \cap c) = (a * b) * (b * c) = a * (b * b) * c = a * b * c$. On the other hand, $a * b * c \leq_* a \cap b \cap c$. So, $a \cap b \cap c = a * b * c$, hence, $a \cap b \cap c \leq_* a, \quad a \cap b \cap c \leq_* c, \quad a \cap b \cap c \leq_\cap a$, and $a \cap b \cap c \leq_\cap c$. Then, by 2.7, $a \cap c = a * c$, which shows that gives $a\theta_2 c$. \square

2.10. θ_2 is a congruence relation in $(L; \cap, \cup)$.

Proof. Let $a\theta_2 b$, hence, $a * b = a \cap b$. So, $a \cap b \leq_* a$ and $a \cap b \leq_* b$. Then for any $c \in L$ it follows that $a \cap b \cap c \leq_* a \cap c$ and $a \cap b \cap c \leq_* b \cap c$ and since $a \cap b \cap c \leq_\cap a \cap c$ and $a \cap b \cap c \leq_\cap b \cap c$, we get by 2.7 that $(a \cap c) \cap (b \cap c) = (a \cap b) * (b \cap c)$, hence, $a \cap c \theta_2 b \cap c$. Similarly we get that $a \cup c \theta_2 b \cup c$. \square

2.11. θ_1 is a congruence relation in $(L; \cap, \cup)$.

2.12. θ_1 and θ_2 are congruence relations in $(L; *)$.

Proof. Let $a\theta_1 b$, i.e. $a * b = a \cup b$, then $a \leq_\cap a * b$ and $b \leq_\cap a * b$. So, $a * c \leq_\cap a * b * c$ and $b * c \leq_\cap a * b * c$. Since, $a * b * c \leq_* a * c$ and $a * b * c \leq_* b * c$, then from 2.7 we obtain $(a * c) * (b * c) = (a * c) \cup (b * c)$, hence $a * c \theta_1 b * c$.

In the same way we can show that $a * c \theta_2 b * c$ follows from $a\theta_2 b$. \square

2.13. $a(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)b \leftrightarrow a \cap a = b \cap b$.

Proof. $a(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)b \leftrightarrow a\theta_1 b$ and $a\theta_2 b \leftrightarrow a * b = a \cup b$ and $a * b = a \cap b \leftrightarrow a \cup b = a \cap b \leftrightarrow a \cap a = b \cap b$. \square

2.14. $a \cap b \theta_1 a * b, \quad a * b \theta_2 a \cup b$.

Proof. $(a \cap b) * (a * b) = a * b = (a \cap b) \cup (a * b)$, hence, $a \cap b \theta_1 a * b$. By 2.4, we have $(a \cup b) * (a * b) = a * b = (a \cup b) \cap (a * b)$, hence, $a \cup b \theta_2 a * b$. \square

2.15. $a \leq_\cap b \rightarrow a\theta_1 \theta_2 b$.

Proof. By 2.14, $a \cap b \theta_1 a * b$ and $a * b \theta_2 a \cup b$, giving us $a \cap b \theta_1 \theta_2 a \cup b$, hence, $a \cap a \theta_1 \theta_2 b \cap b$, so $a\theta_1 \theta_2 b$.

2.16. $a \leq_{\cap} b \rightarrow a\theta_2\theta_1b$. □

Proof. Using 2.8 we get $a\theta_2a\Delta b\theta_1b$. □

2.17. L/θ_1 and L/θ_2 are lattices.

Proof. Note that $a\theta_1a \cap a$ and $a\theta_1a \cup a$, for $i=1,2$. Hence, the elements of quotient-algebras, L/θ_1 and L/θ_2 , are idempotent. □

3. Theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $(L; \cap, \cup)$ be a q -lattice, $(L; *)$ be a q -semilattice, the operation of which is interlaced with the operations \cup and \cap , and satisfy the identity $a \cap a = a * a$. Then there exists a pair of congruences (θ_1, θ_2) in the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$, satisfying the following conditions:

1. $a(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)b \leftrightarrow a \cap a = b \cap b$;
2. $a \leq_{\cap} b \rightarrow a\theta_1\theta_2b$;
3. $X(Y(X(x, y), z), Y(y, z))\theta_i Y(X(x, y), z)$ for $i=1,2$, (3)

where $X, Y \in \{\cap, \cup\}$, $x, y, z \in L$.

Conversely, each pair of congruences (θ_1, θ_2) in $(L; \cap, \cup)$ satisfying the conditions 1–3, corresponds to a q -semilattice $(L; *)$, the operation of which is interlaced with the operations \cup and \cap and satisfies the identity $a \cap a = a * a$.

Proof. Define the relations θ_1 and θ_2 as above. From 2.10, 2.11, 2.13 and 2.15 we get that θ_1, θ_2 are congruences in $(L; \cap, \cup)$ satisfying conditions 1 and 2. The condition 3 is valid, since any q -lattice is interlaced.

Conversely, let θ_1 and θ_2 are congruences satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. Define the operation $*$ by the following rule:

$$a * b = d \cap d \leftrightarrow d\theta_1a \cap b \text{ and } d\theta_2a \cup b.$$

The existence of such $d \in L$ follows from the Condition 2. Obviously, the operation $*$ is commutative, and the following identities are true: $a * (b * b) = a * b$, $a \cap a = a * a$. The elements $d_1 = (a * b) * c$, $d_2 = a * (b * c)$ satisfy $d_1 \cap d_1\theta_1a \cap b \cap c$ and $d_1 \cap d_1\theta_2a \cup b \cup c$, $d_2 \cap d_2\theta_1a \cap b \cap c$ and $d_2 \cap d_2\theta_2a \cup b \cup c$ consequently, $d_1\theta_1d_2$ and $d_1\theta_2d_2$, hence, $d_1 \cap d_1 = d_2 \cap d_2$, so by 2.5, $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$.

To prove that the operation $*$ is interlaced with the operations \cap, \cup , we use the definition of the operation $*$ and the fact that the q -lattices L/θ_i are interlaced ($i=1,2$). For example, the elements $u_1 = (x * y) \cap z$ and $u_2 = [(x * y) \cap z] * (y \cap z)$ satisfy $x \cap y \cap z \theta_1 u_1 \theta_2 (x \cup y) \cap z$ and $x \cap y \cap z \theta_1 u_2 \theta_2 (x \cup y) \cap z$, then $u_1 \cap u_1 = u_2 \cap u_2 \rightarrow (x * y) \cap z = [(x * y) \cap z] * (y \cap z)$ (by 2.5). □

Theorem 2. Let $(L; \cap, \cup)$ be a q -lattice. There exists a bijective correspondence between the q -semilattice operations $*$ in L , which are interlaced with the operations \cap, \cup and satisfy the identity $a \cap a = a * a$, and the epimorphism φ acting from $(L; \cap, \cup)$ to the subdirect product of two lattices, satisfying $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \leftrightarrow x \cap x = y \cap y$. Moreover, if $(a, b), (a', b')$ are elements of

this subdirect product and $(a, b) \leq_{\cap} (a', b')$, then (a, b') belongs to this subdirect product too, and if $\varphi(x) = (a, b)$, $\varphi(y) = (a', b')$, then $\varphi(x * y) = (a \cap a', b \cup b')$.

Proof. Let $(L; *)$ be a q -semilattice satisfying the theorem's conditions, and θ_1 and θ_2 are the congruence relations from Theorem 1. Then the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$ is epimorphically mapped to the subdirect product of the two lattices L/θ_1 and L/θ_2 , $\varphi: x \rightarrow ([x]_{\theta_1}, [x]_{\theta_2})$, such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \leftrightarrow x \cap x = y \cap y$.

Let (a, b) and (a', b') belong to this subdirect product and $(a, b) \leq_{\cap} (a', b')$. Then there exist $u, v \in L$ such that $\varphi(u) = (a, b)$, $\varphi(v) = (a', b')$ and $u \leq_{\cap} v$. Then by the Theorem 1 there exists $t \in L$ with the property $u\theta_1 t \theta_2 v$, hence, $\varphi(t) = (a, b')$.

Conversely, let φ be an epimorphism between L and the subdirect product of lattices A and B satisfying the Theorem's conditions. Then there exist congruence relations θ_1 and θ_2 such that $a(\theta_1 \cap \theta_2)b \leftrightarrow a \cap a = b \cap b$, and L/θ_1 , L/θ_2 are isomorphic to A and B respectively. So, L/θ_i satisfy the condition (1).

If $a, b \in L$ and $a \leq_{\cap} b$, then $\varphi(a) \leq_{\cap} \varphi(b)$, so, by our assumption we get that $([a]_{\theta_1}, [b]_{\theta_2})$ belongs to the subdirect product, hence there exists $t \in L$ such that $\varphi(t) = ([a]_{\theta_1}, [b]_{\theta_2}) \rightarrow a\theta_1 t$ and $t\theta_2 b \rightarrow a\theta_1 \theta_2 b$. Hence, the pair of congruences (θ_1, θ_2) in L satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, and it follows that there exists a q -semilattice operation, $*$, which is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup , and satisfies the identity $a \cap a = a * a$. The last statement of the theorem can be proved with the help of the relation $a \cap b \theta_1 a * b \theta_2 a \cup b$. \square

Theorem 3. a) The q -semilattice $(L; *)$ from Theorem 1 can be transformed into a lattice $(L; *, \Delta)$ (moreover, in the unique way), iff the corresponding congruences θ_1 and θ_2 commute.

b) The q -semilattice $(L; *)$ of Theorem 2 can be transformed into a q -lattice $(L; *, \Delta)$, iff the subdirect product turns out to be a direct.

Proof. a) If the lattice $(L; *, \Delta)$ exists, then $\theta_1 \theta_2 = \theta_2 \theta_1$ by 2.1, 2.15 and 2.16. Conversely, let $\theta_1 \theta_2 = \theta_2 \theta_1$. Then for $a \leq_{\cap} b$ we get by Theorem 1 $a\theta_1 \theta_2 b$, hence, $a\theta_2 \theta_1 b$, too. By Theorem 1 there exists a q -semilattice operation Δ in L corresponding to the pair (θ_2, θ_1) , which is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup , and satisfies the identities $a\Delta a = a \cap a$, $a \cap b \theta_2 (a\Delta b)\theta_1 a \cup b$. Hence, $(a\Delta b) * a \theta_2 (a \cap b) * a \theta_2 (a \cap b) \cup a = a \cup a$ and $(a\Delta b) * a \theta_1 (a \cup b) * a \theta_1 (a \cup b) \cap a = a \cap a \rightarrow (a\Delta b) * a (\theta_1 \cap \theta_2) a \cap a \rightarrow a * a = (a\Delta b) * a$. Similarly, we get $(a * b)\Delta a = a\Delta a$. Hence, $(L; *, \Delta)$ is a q -lattice.

b) Let $a, b \in L$ then by 2.14 and 2.4 $a \cap b \theta_1 a * b \theta_2 a \cup b$ and $a \cap b \leq_{\cap} a * b \leq_{\cap} a \cup b$, then $\theta_1 \cup \theta_2 = i$ [20]. So, the subdirect product is a direct product, iff $\theta_2 \theta_1 = \theta_1 \theta_2$ [20]. By a), this is equivalent to the condition that $(L; *)$ forms a q -lattice. \square

Theorem 4. Let $(L; \cap, \cup)$ and $(L; *, \Delta)$ be q -lattices. If the operation $*$ is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup , and satisfies the identity $a \cap a = a * a$

then the operation Δ is interlaced with the operations \cap and \cup too.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 1 and 3. \square

Theorem 5. Let $L_1 = (L; \cap, \cup)$ and $L_2 = (L; *, \Delta)$ be q -lattices with the following identity: $x \cap x = x * x$. The operation $*$ is interlaced with the operations \cap, \cup , iff there exists an epimorphism φ from the q -bilattice $(L; \cap, \cup, *, \Delta)$ to the superproduct of the two lattices $L_1 \boxtimes L_2$, such that the epimorphism φ satisfies the following condition: $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \leftrightarrow x \cap x = y \cap y$. Hence, the epimorphism φ is an isomorphism on the bilattice of the idempotent elements of the q -bilattice.

Proof. By the Theorems 2 and 3b), there exists an epimorphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow A \times B$ between the q -lattice $(L; \cap, \cup)$ and the subdirect product of two lattices A and B , which satisfying the condition $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \leftrightarrow x \cap x = y \cap y$. The map φ can be continued to the epimorphism between the q -bilattice $(L; \cap, \cup, *, \Delta)$ and the superproduct $A \boxtimes B$ in the following manner:

$$\varphi(x * y) = (a \cap a', b \cup b'); \quad \varphi(x \Delta y) = (a \cup a', b \cap b'),$$

where $\varphi(x) = (a, b)$, $\varphi(y) = (a', b')$. \square

Received 25.02.2011

REFERENCES

1. **Ginsberg M.L.** Computational Intelligence, 1988, v. 4, p. 265–316.
2. **Ginsberg M.L.** Multi-Valued Logics, Proc. AAA-186. Fifth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Morgan Kaufman Publishers, 1986, p. 243–247.
3. **Fitting M.C.** Bilattices in Logic Programming. In: G. Epstain ed., proc. 20th Internat. Symp. on Multiple-Valued Logic, IEEE, New York, 1990, p. 63–70.
4. **Fitting M.C.** Journal of Logic Programming, 1991, v. 11, p. 91–116.
5. **Mobasher B., Pigozzi D., Slutski G.** Theoretical Computer Science, 1997, v. 171, p. 77–109.
6. **Romanowska A.B., Trakul A.** On the Structure of Some Bilattices. Universal and Applied Algebra. World Scientific, 1989, p. 235–253.
7. **Avron A.** Math. Struct. in Comp. Science. Cambridge University Press., 1996, v. 6, p. 287–289.
8. **Movsisyan Yu.M., Romanowska A.B., Smith J.D.H.** Comb. Math. and Comb. Comp., 2006, v. 58, p. 101–111.
9. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Armenian Journal of Mathematics, 2008, v. 1, p. 7–13.
10. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Proceedings of the Steclov Inst. of Math., 2011, v. 274, p. 174–192.
11. **Chajda I.** Acta Polacky University, 1992, v. 31, p. 6–12.
12. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Introduction to Theory of Algebras with Hyperidentities. Yer.: YSU Press, 1986 (in Russian).
13. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Hyperidentities and Hypervarieties in Algebras. Yer.: YSU Press, 1990 (in Russian).
14. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1998, v. 53, № 1, p. 61–114; English transl. in Russ. Math. Surveys, 1998, v. 53.
15. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Izv. Ross. Acad. Nauk. Ser. Mat., 1992, v. 56, p. 654–672; English transl. in Russ. Acad. Sci Izv. Math., 1992, v. 56.
16. **Movsisyan Yu.M.** Izv. Ross. Acad. Nauk. Ser. Mat., 1996, v. 60, p. 127–168; English transl. in Russ. Acad. Sci Izv. Math., 1996, v. 60.
17. **Denecke K., Wismath S.L.** Hyperidentities and Clones. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2000.
18. **Denecke K., Koppitz J.** M-Solid Varieties of Algebras. Advances in Mathematic. New York: Spriger–Science+Business Media, 2006, v. 10.
19. **Anosov A.D.** Discrete Mathematics and Applications, 2007, v. 17, № 4, p. 331–347.
20. **Gratzer G.** General Lattice Theory. Berlin: Springer–Verlag, 1978.