Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2011, № 3, p. 23–30

Mathematics

## EXPLICIT FACTORIZATION OF A (P,Q)-CIRCULANT MATRIX-FUNCTIONS

## G. M. TOPIKYAN\*

Chair of Differential Equations and Functional Analysis YSU, Armenia

The paper considers a factorization problem of a matrix-function, obtained from a circulant by a right and left multiplication by diagonal rational matrix-functions. Formulas for partial indices are obtained by means of ranks of a finite number of explicit type matrices. A factorization construction of this matrix-function based on factorization of finite number of functions is given as well.

Keywords: matrix-function, factorization, partial indices.

1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a Carleson contour, which bounds finitely connected bounded domain  $\Omega_+(0 \in \Omega_+)$ ,  $\Omega_- = \overline{\square} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_+(\infty \in \Omega_-)$ . It is known (see [1]) that the singular integral operator S, defined by the formula

$$(S\varphi)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\tau - t} \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \ t \in \Gamma,$$

where the integral is understood in a sense of a principal value, is a bounded operator in the space  $L_p(=L_p(\Gamma))$ ,  $1 . We define projectors <math>P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm S)$ 

and classes of functions 
$$L_p^+ = \operatorname{Im} P_+$$
,  $L_p^- = \operatorname{Im} P_-$ ,  $L_p^- = L_p^- + C$ .

Everywhere below we denote the space of n-dimensional vector-columns ( $n \times n$ -order matrices) with elements from the linear space X by  $X^n$  ( $X^{n \times n}$ ). The abbreviations m.-f. and v.-f. will be used for matrix-function and vector-function respectively. By  $\tau_k(k \in \mathbb{Z})$  we denote a function defined by  $\tau_k(t) = t^k$ .

By factorization of a m.-f. G of order  $n \times n$  in the space  $L_p$  along the contour  $\Gamma$  we mean the representation  $G = G_- \Lambda G_+^{-1}$ , where a)  $G_\pm \in (L_p^\pm)^{n \times n}$ ,  $G_\pm^{-1} \in (L_q^\pm)^{n \times n}$ ,  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ; b)  $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}[\tau_{\kappa_1}, \dots, \tau_{\kappa_n}]$ , where  $\kappa_1 \leq \dots \leq \kappa_n$  are numbers called partial indices. A factorization of m.-f. G satisfying to the condition  $G^{\pm 1} \in L_\infty^{n \times n}$  is called generalized, if the operator  $G_- P_+ G_-^{-1} I$  is bounded in  $L_p^n$ .

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: gevorg.topikvan@gmail.com

Suppose that  $\varphi_i \in L_\infty$ , and  $p_i, q_i$  (i=1,...,n) are rational functions with poles lying outside the contour  $\Gamma$ ,  $P = \operatorname{diag}[p_1,...,p_n]$ ,  $Q = \operatorname{diag}[q_1,...,q_n]$  and  $\Phi = (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ , where  $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{j-i+1}, i \leq j$ , and  $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{n+j-i+1}, i > j$ . The m.-f.  $\Phi$  is a circulant and, therefore, a m.-f. G, defined by the equality  $G = P\Phi Q$ , we will call (P,Q)-circulant. The explicit representation of this m.-f. will be:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 q_1 \varphi_1 & p_1 q_2 \varphi_2 & \dots & p_1 q_n \varphi_n \\ p_2 q_1 \varphi_n & p_2 q_2 \varphi_1 & \dots & p_2 q_n \varphi_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_n q_1 \varphi_2 & p_n q_2 \varphi_3 & \dots & p_n q_n \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The present paper suggests a method of an explicit factorization of the m.-f. G. By the explicit factorization we mean a reduction of a factorization problem of the m.-f. G to a finite number of factorizations of scalar functions and a finite number of solutions of linear algebraic problems. The suggested approach is based on algebraic properties of the Toeplitz operators' family (see [2, 3]) and extends the method developed in [5, 6].

**2.** We introduce functions  $\psi_j = \sum_{s=1}^n \varepsilon^{(j-1)(s-1)} \varphi_s$ , j=1,...,n, where  $\varepsilon = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{n}$  and an m.-f.  $\Psi = \operatorname{diag}[\psi_1,...,\psi_n]$ . It is known that  $\Phi = E\Psi E^{-1}$ , where  $E = \left(\varepsilon^{(k-1)(s-1)}\right)_{k,s=1}^n$ ,  $E^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\varepsilon^{-(k-1)(s-1)}\right)_{k,s=1}^n$ . Consequently,  $G = PE\Psi E^{-1}Q$ . By the Theorem 3.10 from [4], we have that the m.-f. G admits a generalized factorization, iff the functions  $\psi_j (j=1,..,n)$  admit generalized factorization.

Below, without loss of generality, we will assume that  $q_1(0),...,q_n(0)\in\overline{\square}\setminus\{0\}$ .

Let the functions  $\psi_j(j=1,...,n)$  admit the generalized factorization  $\psi_j=\psi_j^-t^{\chi_j}(\psi_j^+)^{-1}$ . Then we have  $G=PE\Psi^-\Lambda_\chi(\Psi^+)^{-1}E^{-1}Q$ , where  $\Psi^-=\mathrm{diag}[\psi_1^-,...,\psi_n^-]$ ,  $\Lambda_\chi=\mathrm{diag}[\tau_{\chi_1},...,\tau_{\chi_n}]$  and  $\Psi^+=\mathrm{diag}[\psi_1^+,...,\psi_n^+]$ .

We define m.-f.  $\tilde{A} = \tau_{-\chi_{\max}} PE\Psi \Lambda_{\chi}$  and  $\tilde{B} = (\Psi^+)^{-1} E^{-1} Q$ , where  $\chi_{\max} = \max{\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}}$ . Then  $G = \tau_{\chi_{\max}} \tilde{A} \tilde{B}$ .

Let  $p_i = \frac{p_{i1}}{p_{i2}}$ ,  $q_i = \frac{q_{i1}}{q_{i2}}$ . We write the polynomials  $p_{i1}, p_{i2}, q_{i1}, q_{i2}$  as follows:  $p_{i1} = p_{i1}^- p_{i1}^+$ ,  $p_{i2} = p_{i2}^- p_{i2}^+$ ,  $q_{i1} = q_{i1}^- q_{i1}^+$ ,  $q_{i2} = q_{i2}^- q_{i2}^+$ , where  $p_{ik}^\pm$ ,  $q_{ik}^\pm$  (k=1,2) are polynomials, whose zeros lie in  $\Omega_\mp$  respectively. We denote by  $p_{ok}^\pm(q_{ok}^\pm)$  (k=1,2) polynomials, which are the least common multiples of  $p_{1k}^\pm, \ldots, p_{nk}^\pm$   $(q_{1k}^\pm, \ldots, q_{nk}^\pm)$ . Let  $A = p_{02}^+ \cdot \tau_{-v_0} \cdot \frac{1}{q_{02}^-} \tilde{A}$ ,  $B = \frac{q_{02}^-}{p_{02}^+} \tilde{B}$ , where

$$\begin{split} &\upsilon_0 = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \deg p_{i1} + \deg p_{02}^+ \,. \quad \text{Then} \quad G = \tau_{\chi_0} A B \,\,, \quad \text{where} \quad A \in (L_p^-)^{nxn} \,, \quad B \in (L_q^+)^{nxn} \,, \\ &A^{-1} \in (M_q^-)^{nxn} \,, \quad B^{-1} \in (M_p^+)^{nxn} \,, \quad \chi_0 = \chi_{\max} + \upsilon_0 \,. \quad \text{We define a number } \upsilon_- = \upsilon_0 + \chi_0 - -\chi_{\min} + \deg q_{02}^- + \deg p_{01}^+ + \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \deg p_{i2} \,, \quad \text{where} \quad \chi_{\min} = \min\{\chi_1,\dots,\chi_n\} \,\,, \,\, \text{and polynomial} \quad q_- = q_{01}^- \cdot q_{02}^- \,\,\, \text{(we denote its degree by } \upsilon_+ \,) \,. \quad \text{Further, we define a v.-f.} \\ &q_+ = p_{01}^+ p_{02}^+ \,\,\, \text{and} \,\, n_- = \deg q_+ \,\,. \,\, \text{Consider families of Hankel and Toeplitz operators} \\ &H_j^- : D_p^- (A^{-1}) \to L_p^+, H_j^+ : D_p^+ (B^{-1}) \to L_p^-, \,\, T_j : L_p^+ \to L_p^+ \,\,, \quad p > 1 \,\,, \,\, \text{defined by formulas} \\ &H_j^- \varphi = P_+ (\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} \varphi) \,, \quad H_j^+ \varphi = P_- (\tau_{j^-} B^{-1} \varphi), T_j \varphi = P_+ (\tau_{-j} A B \varphi) \,\,, \quad \text{where} \quad j^\pm = \frac{j \pm |j|}{2} \,\,, \\ &D_p^- (A^{-1}) = \{ \varphi \in (L_p^-)^n, \,\, A^{-1} \varphi \in (L_p)^n \} \,\,; \,\, D_p^+ (B^{-1}) = \{ \varphi \in (L_p^+)^n, \,\, B^{-1} \varphi \in (L_p)^n \} \,\,. \end{split}$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{I}_j$  the space of vector polynomials  $\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_k z^k$ ,  $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{D}^n$ , in the case when j > 0  $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ , and the space of vector polynomials in  $z^{-1}$  of type  $\sum_{k=j}^{-1} \varphi_k z^k$  in the case when j < 0  $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ . We will suppose that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = \{0\}$ . We define a family of finite-dimensional operators  $K_j = H_j^+ H_j^- \Big|_{\mathfrak{I}_0}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote  $N_j = \ker T_j$ .

**Lemma 1.** A v.-f.  $\varphi$  belongs to  $N_j$ , iff there exists a v.-f.  $\psi \in \ker K_j$ , such that  $\varphi = \tau_j B^{-1} H_j^-(\psi)$ . Besides, the following equality is true:

$$\dim N_{j} = \nu_{-} + nj^{+} - \dim \operatorname{Im} K_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

*Proof.* It is known that (see [7])  $\operatorname{Im} H_j^- = \operatorname{Im} H_j^- |_{\mathfrak{I}_{-(\upsilon-i)^+}}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, we have the equality  $H_j^-(\ker K_j) = \ker \left(H_j^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-}\right)$ . Therefore, to prove the first part of Lemma, it is enough to see that  $\varphi \in N_j$ , iff the v.-f.  $\varphi_0 = \tau_{-j^-} B \varphi \in \ker \left(H_j^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-}\right)$ .

Assume that  $\varphi \in N_j$ , then  $\varphi \in (L_p^+)^n$  and  $P_+(\tau_{-j}AB\varphi) = 0$ , i.e.  $\tau_{-j}AB\varphi = f \in (L_p^-)^n$ . We write the last equality in the form  $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f = \tau_{-j^-}B\varphi = \varphi_0$ . We have  $B \in (L_q^+)^{n \times n}$  and  $\varphi \in (L_p^+)^n$ , hence,  $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f \in (L_1^+)^n$ . Since  $A^{-1} \in (M_q^-)^{n \times n}$ ,  $f \in (L_p^-)^n$ , then  $P_+(\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f)$  is a rational function, and, therefore,  $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f \in (L_p^n)(L_1^+)^n$ , i.e.  $f \in D_p^-(A^{-1})$ . It is easy to see that  $H_j^-f = \varphi_0$  and  $B^{-1}\varphi_0 = \tau_{-j^-}\varphi \in (L_p)^n$ , i.e.  $\varphi_0 \in D_p^+(B^{-1})$  and  $H_j^+\varphi_0 = P_-(\tau_{j^-}B^{-1}\varphi_0) = P_-(\varphi) = 0$ . Thus,  $\varphi_0 \in \ker \left(H_j^+|_{\operatorname{Im}H_j^-}\right)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\varphi_0= au_{-j^-}B\varphi\in\ker(H_j^+|_{\mathrm{Im}H_j^-})$ . The equality  $\mathrm{Im}\,H_j^-=\mathrm{Im}\,H_j^-|_{\mathfrak{I}_{-(\upsilon_-+j^+)}}$  implies the existence of  $f\in\mathfrak{F}_{-(\upsilon_-+j^+)}$ , such that  $\varphi_0=H_j^-f\in(L_p^+)^n$ . Since  $H_j^+\varphi_0=0$ , then  $0=P_-(\tau_{j^-}B^{-1}\varphi_0)=P_-(\tau_{j^-}B^{-1}\tau_{-j^-}B\varphi)=P_-(\varphi)$  i.e.  $\varphi\in(L_p^+)^n$ .

We define the m.-f.  $U = \tau_{v_-} P_-(\tau_{-v_-} B^{-1}) P_+(\tau_{-v_-} A^{-1})$  and square matrices  $b_{m,k}^{(j)}, \quad a_{m,k}^{(j)}, \quad u_{m,k}^{(j)} \quad (m,k,j\in\mathbb{Z})\,, \quad \text{given} \quad \text{by} \quad b_{m,k}^{(j)} = < B^{-1} >_{-(m+k)-1-j^-}\,,$   $a_{m,k}^{(j)} = < A^{-1} >_{v_-+m-k} \quad \text{when} \quad m < k \quad \text{and} \quad a_{m,k}^{(j)} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad m \ge k, \quad u_{m,k}^{(j)} = < U >_{-(m+k)-1-j^-}\,,$  where for a m.-f.  $\Phi$  by  $<\Phi>_k$  we mean the following matrix:  $<\Phi>_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} t^{-k-1} \Phi(t) dt\,. \quad \text{For} \quad j > -v_- \quad \text{we define the block matrices} \quad A_j\,, \quad B_j\,, \quad U_j\,,$   $\Re_j \quad \text{by:} \quad B_j = ||b_{m,k}^{(j)}||_{m=0,\dots,j^++v_--1}^{k=0,\dots,j^++v_--1}\,, \quad A_j = ||a_{m,k}^{(j)}||_{m=0,\dots,j^++v_--1}^{k=0,\dots,j^++v_--1}\,, \quad U_j = ||u_{m,k}^{(j)}||_{m=0,\dots,j^--j^-}^{k=0,\dots,j^++v_--1}\,,$   $\Re_j = U_j + B_j A_j\,, \quad \text{where} \quad j' = \max\{j,v_+\}\,. \quad \text{For} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{we also define}$  mappings  $\psi_j: C^{n(v_-+j^+)} \to \mathfrak{F}_{-(v_-+j^+)}$  by the formula  $\psi_j q = \sum_{k=-(v_-+j^+)}^{-1} q_k t^k\,, \quad \text{where}$   $q = [q_{-(v_-+j^+)},\dots,q_{-1}], \quad q_k \in \square^n \ (k = -(v_-+j^+),\dots,-1)\,.$ 

The following statement is true:

*Proof.* Since  $p_{01}^+ \cdot p_{02}^+ \cdot \tau_{-\nu_-} \cdot A^{-1} \in (L_q^-)^{nxn}$  and  $q_-B^{-1} \in (L_p^+)^{nxn}$ , the following equalities are true:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_{-}} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m-k} \langle q_{+} \rangle_{k} = 0, \ m = \nu_{-} + 1, \dots,$$
 (2)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu+} \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{m-k} \langle q_{-} \rangle_{k} = 0, \ m = -1, -2, \dots$$
 (3)

Let  $j \leq -\upsilon_-$ ,  $q \in \ker K_j$  and  $\varphi = H_j^- q$ . Then it is obvious, that  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}^n \bigcap (L_p^+)^n$ . According to Lemma 1, a v.-f.  $\psi = \tau_j B^{-1} \varphi \in N_j$  and  $\psi \in (L_p^+)^n$ . Since  $\varphi = \tau_{-j} B \psi$ , then  $\langle \varphi \rangle_0 = \ldots = \langle \varphi \rangle_{-j-1} = 0$ . The last equalities mean that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\upsilon_-} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m+k} \langle q \rangle_{-k} = 0 \ (m = 0, \ldots, \upsilon_- - 1), \text{ since } \varphi = \sum_{m=-j-1}^{-\upsilon_-} z^m \sum_{k=1}^{\upsilon_-} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m+k} \langle q \rangle_{-k}.$  Hence, using (2) and observing that  $\langle q_+ \rangle_0 \neq 0$  and  $n_- \leq \upsilon_-$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} <\varphi>_{-j} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} < A^{-1}>_{-j+k} < q>_{-k} = -\sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{-}} \frac{< q_{+}>_{i}}{< q_{+}>_{0}} < A^{-1}>_{-j+k-i} < q>_{-k} = \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{n_{-}} \frac{< q_{+}>_{i}}{< q_{+}>_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} < A^{-1}>_{-j+k-i} < q>_{-k} = 0 \; . \end{split}$$

Similarly we get  $<\phi>_{-j+1}=<\phi>_{-j+2}=\ldots=0,$  i.e.  $\phi=0$  . The Lemma 1 implies that  $N_j=\{0\}$  .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Let now} & j>-\upsilon_{-} \text{ while } \quad q\in \mathfrak{F}_{-(\upsilon_{-}+j^{+})} \text{. Using } \quad \tau\cdot P_{-}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1})q\in \left(\stackrel{0}{L_{q}}\right)^{n}, \\ \\ \tau_{j^{+}+\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1})q\in (L_{q}^{+})^{n} \text{ and equality } A^{-1}=\tau_{-j^{+}+1}P_{-}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1})+\tau_{\upsilon_{-}}P_{-}(\tau_{-j^{+}+1-\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1}))+\\ \\ +\tau_{\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1}) \text{ , we obtain that } H_{j}^{-}q=\tau_{j^{+}+\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1})q+g \text{ , where} \end{array}$ 

$$g(t) = P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}} \cdot \tau_{\nu_{-}} P_{-}(\tau_{-j^{+}+1-\nu_{-}} P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}-1} A^{-1}))q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{-}+j^{+}-2} \langle g \rangle_{k} t^{k},$$

 $< g>_k = \sum_{m=k-(\upsilon_-+j^+)+1}^{-1} < A^{-1}>_{k-m-j^+} < q>_m \ . \quad \text{Hence, taking into account that}$   $\tau_j\tau_{\upsilon_-}P_+(\tau_{-\upsilon_-}B^{-1}) \ \tau_{\upsilon_-}P_+(\tau_{-\upsilon_-}A^{-1})q \in (L_1^+)^n \ , \ \text{we get that} \ H_j^+H_j^-q = P_-(\tau_{j^-}Uh) + H_j^+g \ ,$  where  $h=\tau_{\upsilon_-+j^+}q$ . Consequently, the condition  $K_jq=0$  is equivalent to the following infinite system of equalities:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu_+ j^+ - 1} < U >_{m-k-j^-} < h >_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_+ j^+ - 2} < B^{-1} >_{m-k-j^-} < g >_k = 0, \quad m = -1, -2, \dots$$
 It is easy to see that  $(< h >_0^T, \dots, < h >_{\nu_- + j^+ - 1}^T)^T = \psi_j^{-1} q$  and  $(< g >_0^T, \dots, < g >_{\nu_- + j^+ - 2}^T, 0)^T = A_j \psi_j^{-1} q$ . The remark above implies that the condition  $q \in \ker K_j$  is equivalent to the equality  $U_j \psi_j^{-1} q + B_j A_j \psi_j^{-1} q = 0$ . By writing the last equality in the following form  $\Re_j \psi_j^{-1} q = 0$ , we finally obtain that  $K_j q = 0$ , iff  $\Re_j \psi_j^{-1} q = 0$ . Consequently, dim  $\ker K_j = \dim \ker \Re_j$ . In view of (1) the following equality is true:

 $\dim N_j = \upsilon_- + nj^+ - \dim \operatorname{Im} K_j = \upsilon_- + nj^+ - (n(\upsilon_- + j^+) - \dim \operatorname{K}_j) = \upsilon_- + nj^+ - r_j \,.$  It remains to prove the last statement of our Lemma 2. Let  $j \geq \upsilon_+$  and  $q \in \mathfrak{I}_j$ , then  $\varphi = \tau_{-j} A q \in (L_p^-)^n$ ,  $\varphi \in D_p^- (A^{-1})$  and  $H_j^- \varphi = q$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{I}_j \subset \operatorname{Im} H_j^-$ . A v.-f.  $y \in D_q^+ (B^{-1})$  we write as follows  $y = \tilde{q} + q_- y_0$ , where  $y_0 \in D_q^+ (B^{-1})$ , while  $\tilde{q}$  is a vector polynomial, whose degree does not exceed  $\upsilon_+ - 1$ . We have  $q_- B^{-1} y_0 \in (L_1^+)^n \cap L_q^n$  (i.e.  $q_- B^{-1} y_0 \in (L_q^+)^n$ ), and, therefore, the equality  $B^{-1} y = B^{-1} \tilde{q} + q_- B^{-1} y_0$  implies that  $H_0^+ y = H_0^+ \tilde{q}$ , i.e.  $\operatorname{Im} H_0^+ = \operatorname{Im} \left( H_0^+ |_{\mathfrak{I}_{\upsilon_+}} \right)$ .

The operator  $T': D_q^-(B) \to (L_q^-)^n$  is defined by formula  $T'y = P_-(By)$ . We prove that  $\ker T' = \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$ . Assume that  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$ . Then there exists  $y \in \mathfrak{I}_{v_+}$  such that  $\varphi = H_0^+ y = P_-(B^{-1}y)$ . Now  $B\varphi = y - BP_+(B^{-1}y)$ ,  $BP_+(B^{-1}y) \in (L_1^+)^n$  implies  $B\varphi \in (L_1^+)^n \cap L_q^n$ , i.e.  $\varphi \in \ker T'$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi \in \ker T'$ ,  $\varphi \in (L_q^-)^n$  and  $B\varphi = \psi \in (L_q^+)^n$ , i.e.  $\varphi = B^{-1}\psi = P_-(B^{-1}\psi) = H_0^+\psi$ . B admits a left factorization in  $L_q$  (see [4]), and, as it is known, dim  $\ker T'$  coincides with the sum of positive partial indices of B. Since B is analytic inside the circle, then its partial indices are nonnegative (see [4]). Therefore, dim  $\ker T'$  coincides with total index of B. On the other hand, total index of B is equal to the number of zeros inside  $\Omega_+$  (by taking into account their multiplicities) of function  $\det B$ . Thus,  $\dim \operatorname{Im} H_0^+ = n \deg q_{02}^- + \sum_{i=1}^n (\deg q_{i1}^- - \deg q_{i2}^-)$ . For  $j \ge 0$  we obtain

$$\mathrm{Im} H_0^+ \supset \mathrm{Im} K_j = \mathrm{Im} \Big( H_0^+ \big|_{H_j^-(\mathfrak{I}_{-(v_-+j)})} \Big) = \mathrm{Im} \Big( H_0^+ \big|_{\mathrm{Im} H_0^-} \Big) \supset \mathrm{Im} \Big( H_0^+ \big|_{\mathfrak{I}_j} \Big) \,,$$

and for  $j \ge \upsilon_+$  we get  $\operatorname{Im} H_0^+ \supset \operatorname{Im} K_j \supseteq \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$ . Consequently, we have  $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} K_j = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$ ,  $j \ge \upsilon_+$ , and the Lemma is proved.

Note that, particularly, the following statement is proved:

Corollary 1. For  $j > -v_{-}$  the following equality is true:  $\ker K_{j} = \psi_{j} \ker \Re_{j}$ .

**Theorem 1.** The partial indices of m.-f. G can be calculated by formulas:  $\kappa_i = -\upsilon_- + \chi_0 + \operatorname{card}\{j : n\theta_j - r_j + r_{j-1} < i, \quad j = -\upsilon_- + 1, \dots, \upsilon_+ + 2)\}, \qquad (4)$  where  $r_{-\upsilon_-} = \upsilon_-$ ,  $r_j = \operatorname{rang} \mathfrak{K}_j$   $(j > -\upsilon_-)$  and  $\theta_j = 1, \ j > 0, \ \theta_j = 0, \ j \le 0$ .

*Proof.* It is known that  $\dim N_j$  is equal to the sum of negative partial indices of the m.-f.  $\tau_{-(\chi_0+j)}G$  with the minus sign. The partial indices of the m.-f.  $\tau_{-(\chi_0+j)}G$  are equal to  $\kappa_i-\chi_0-j$   $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ . As we have  $j>\kappa_1-\chi_0$ , then  $\dim N_j>0$ . Consequently,  $-\upsilon_- \le \kappa_1-\chi_0$ . Similarly (see [5]), it is not difficult to see that

$$\kappa_i - \chi_0 = \eta_- + \operatorname{card} \{j | \dim N_j - \dim N_{j-1} < i, j = \eta_- + 1, ..., \eta_+ \},$$

where  $\eta_-$ ,  $\eta_+$  are arbitrary integer numbers satisfying to  $\eta_- \le \kappa_1 - \chi_0 \le ...$   $... \le \kappa_n - \chi_0 \le \eta_+$ . We can take  $\eta_-$  to be equal to  $-\upsilon_-$ , while by Lemma 2 we can choose  $\eta_+$  to be the number  $\upsilon_+ + 2$ . Taking into account also the equality  $\dim N_j - \dim N_{j-1} = n\theta_j + r_{j-1} - r_j$ , we get (4).

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that  $N_j = \{\tau_j B^{-1} P_+(\tau_{j+} A^{-1} \psi_j q), \ q \in \ker \mathfrak{K}_j\}, \ j > -\upsilon_-$ . We denote  $\widehat{N}_j = N_j + \tau N_j = \{\varphi + \tau \psi; \varphi, \psi \in N_j\}$  and  $N_j(0) = \{\varphi(0), \varphi \in N_j\}$ . It is known (see [3]) that  $\widehat{N}_j \subset N_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $M_j$  some direct complement of  $\widehat{N}_j$  in  $N_{j+1}$ . Spaces  $M_j$  (see [2]) are called (p,j)-index subspaces. We denote  $\xi_i = \kappa_i - \chi_0 + 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, n)$ . It is known that  $N_j = \{0\}$  for  $j \leq \xi_1 - 1$  and  $N_j = \widehat{N}_{j-1}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ . The following statement follows from [3]:

*Proposition 1.* Assume  $φ_{i1},...,φ_{im_i}(i=1,...,n, m_i ∈ □)$  are bases in the space  $M_{ξ_i-1}$ . Then  $τ_{-χ_0}G = G_-ΛG_+^{-1}$ , where  $G_+ = [φ_{11},...,φ_{1m_1},φ_2,...,φ_{2m_2},...,φ_{n1},...,φ_{nm_n}]$ ,  $Λ = \text{diag}[τ_{ξ_1-1},...,τ_{ξ_n-1}]$  and  $G_- = G_0G_+Λ^{-1}$  is a factorization of a m.-f.  $τ_{-χ_0}G$ .

Assume that  $K'_j = [\langle A^{-1} \rangle_{\upsilon_- - j^-}, ..., \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{1-j}]$ . We call the vectors  $q_i = [q_i^1, ..., q_i^{\upsilon_- + \xi_i^+}]$   $(q_i^k \in \square^n, i = 1, ..., n; k = 1, ..., \upsilon + \xi_i^+)$  a factorization collection for the m.-f. G, if  $\mathfrak{K}_{\xi_i} q_i = 0$ , i = 1, ..., n, while vectors  $K'_{\xi_1} q_1, ..., K'_{\xi_n} q_n$  are linearly independent.

Proposition 2. A m.-f. G possesses a factorization collection.

$$\begin{split} &Proof. \text{ Let } \varphi \in N_j \text{ , then there exists a vector } q = [q_{-(\upsilon_- + j^+)}, ..., q_{-1}] \in \ker \mathfrak{K}_j \text{ ,} \\ &q_s \in \square \ ^n, s = (-\upsilon_- + j^+, ..., -1) \text{ , such that } \varphi(t) = t^{-j^-} B^{-1}(t) P_+(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} \psi_j q) \text{ .} \end{split}$$

$$<\tau_{j^{+}}A^{-1}\psi_{j}q>_{m} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}t^{j^{+}}A^{-1}(t)\sum_{k=-\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}}^{-1}q_{k}t^{k}t^{-m-1}dt = \sum_{k=-\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}}^{-1}\frac{q_{k}}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}A^{-1}(t)t^{j^{+}+k-m-1}dt =$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}}^{-1}< A^{-1}>_{m-k-j^{+}}q_{k}.$$

The v.-f.  $\varphi$  is analytic in  $\Omega_+$ , and hence, it can be de expanded into the series  $\varphi(t) = B^{-1}(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-p,+j^+}^{-1} < A^{-1} >_{m-k-j^+} q_k \right) t^{m+j^-}$  in a neighborhood of 0.

Besides,  $N_j(0) = \{B^{-1}(0)K'_jq, q \in \ker \mathfrak{K}_j\}$ , since the m.-f. B(0) is invertible. The existence of a factorization collection follows now from properties of spaces  $N_j(0)$  (see [3]). Proof is completed.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $q_i(i=1,...,n)$  be a factorization collection for the m.-f. G and  $\varphi_i = \tau_{\xi_i^-} B^{-1} H_{\xi_i}^- \psi_{\xi_i} q_i$ , i=1,...n, then  $G_+ = [\varphi_1,...,\varphi_n]$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{diag}[t^{\kappa_1},...,\tau^{\tau_n}]$ ,

 $G_{-} = GG_{+}\Lambda^{-1}$  is a factorization of m.-f. G.

*Proof.* Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 imply that  $\varphi_i \in N_{\xi_i} (i=1,\ldots,n)$ . Since  $\varphi_1(0),\ldots,\varphi_n(0)$  are linearly independent, then  $\varphi_i$  does not belong to  $\widehat{N}_{\xi_i-1}$ . Consequently,  $\varphi_i \in M_{\xi_i-1} (i=1,\ldots,n)$ . Taking into account linear independence of a v.-f.  $\varphi_i (i=1,\ldots,n)$  we deduce the proof of our Theorem from the Proposition 1.

Received 24.01.2011

## REFERENCES

- Dinkin E.M. and Osilenker B.P. Matematicheskii Analiz (Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki). M.: VINITI, 1983, p. 42–129 (in Russian).
- Kamalyan A.G. Dokladi NAN Armenii, 2007, v. 107, № 4, p. 316–322 (in Russian).
- 3. **Kamalyan A.G.** Dokladi NAN Armenii, 2008, v. 108, № 1, p. 5–11 (in Russian).
- Litvinchuk G.S. and Spitkovskii I.M. Factorization of Matrix-Functions. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1987.
- Kamalyan A.G. and Sargsyan A.V. Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika. Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 2007, v. 42, № 3, p. 39–48.
- 6. Sargsyan A.V. Proceedings of the YSU. Phys. and Mathem. Sciences, 2010, № 1, p. 9–15.
- Kamalyan A.G. Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika. Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 1997, v. 32, № 2, p. 19–38.