Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2012, № 2, p. 22–28

Mathematics

ON ONE SPECTRUM OF UNIVERSALITY FOR WALSH SYSTEM

M. A. NALBANDYAN*

Chair of Higher Mathematics (Department of Physics) YSU, Armenia

In the present work it is shown that the set $D = \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i 2^{N_i} : \delta_i = 0, 1\right\}$ for every sequence $N_0 < N_1 < ... < N_i < ...$ of natural numbers can be changed into the set of the form $\Lambda = \{k + o(\omega(k)) : k \in D\}$, where $\omega(k)$ is an arbitrary, tending to infinity at $k \to +\infty$ sequence, such that Λ is the spectrum of universality for Walsh system.

Keywords: Walsh system, universal series, representation theorems, representations by subsystems.

Introduction. Let *S* be a space of functions defined on [0,1] (for example, $S = L^p[0,1]$) and let *T* be a type of convergence (for example, the convergence in $L^p[0,1]$ metric or the almost everywhere convergence). Here we will mainly consider $S = L^0[0,1]$ – the class of all almost everywhere finite, measurable functions and *T* = almost everywhere convergence on [0,1].

A series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k(x) \tag{1}$$

is said to be *universal in the usual sense* for *S*, *T*, if for any function $f(x) \in S$ there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers n_k , such that the corresponding sequence of partial sums $\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} a_j \varphi_j(x)$ converges to f(x) in the sense of *T*.

There are also other types of universality such as *universality with respect to rearrangements* for *S*, *T*: the latter means that for any function $f(x) \in S$ there exists rearrangement $k \mapsto \sigma(k)$ such that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{\sigma(k)} \varphi_{\sigma(k)}(x)$ converges to f(x) in the sense of *T*.

We will also say that the series (1) is universal in the sense of partial series

^{*} E-mail: nalbandyanmikayel@yahoo.com

for S, T, if for any function $f(x) \in S$ there exists a partial series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k} \varphi_{n_k}(x)$ of (1), which converges to f(x) in the sense of T.

The first example of trigonometric series universal in the usual sense for the class of all measurable functions has been constructed by D.E. Menshoff [1] (see also [2]). This result was extended by A.A. Talalian [3] to arbitrary complete orthonormal systems. He also established [4], that if $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $x \in [0,1]$, is an arbitrary orthonormal system, then there exist a series $\sum a_k \varphi_k(x)$, which is universal in the sense of partial series for the class of all measurable functions and T =convergence in measure on [0,1]. The following general result was obtained by M. Grigorian [5]:

Theorem. The class of orthogonal series simultaneously possessing the following properties 1), 2) are not empty:

1) universality with respect to rearrangements and in the sense of partial series both in each $L^p[0,1]$, $p \in [1,2)$, and in $\bigcap_{1 \le p \le 2} L^p[0,1]$;

2) universality with respect to rearrangements and in the sense of partial series for S =all measurable functions and T =almost everywhere convergence on [0,1].

The fact that there exists a functional series universal with respect to rearrangements for S =class of almost everywhere finite, measurable functions and T =almost everywhere convergence, was mentioned by W. Orlicz [6]. Note that Riemann has proved (see [7], p. 317) that every unconditionally convergent numerical series is universal with respect to rearrangements for S = all reals.

Definition. The set of natural numbers Λ , for which it is possible to construct an universal (in some sense) series $\sum_{\lambda_k \in \Lambda} a_k \varphi_{\lambda_k}(x)$, we will call the

spectrum of universality (in the same sense).

In the rest of the paper we will consider universal series in Walsh system.

Let $\omega(k)$ be an arbitrary sequence, tending to infinity as $k \to +\infty$. By the small change of some set D we will mean the set $\{k + o(\omega(k)) : k \in D\}$.

Such small transformations of sets were considered for the first time by G. Kozma and A. Olevskii [8], with the aim to transform these sets into representation spectrum. More precisely, it was proved by them for trigonometric system that for any sequence w(k) tending to infinity there is a symmetric representation spectrum $\Lambda = \left\{ \pm k^2 + o(w(k)) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e. each measurable function f allows the representation $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} c_n(f)e^{inx}$, where the sum converges almost everywhere.

This result was extended to the Walsh system by the author in [9], namely:

Theorem. For arbitrary $l \in \{2^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ there exists a subsystem $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $n_k \in \{k^l + o(k^{l-1})\}_{k \in N}$ of Walsh system such that for every measurable function there exists a series by subsystem $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging a.e. to this function. In other words, there exists a representation spectrum of the form $\Lambda_l = \{k^l + o(k^{l-1})\}_{k \in N}$, $l \in \{2^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

Theorem. For arbitrary sequence $\{\omega(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, tending to infinity, there exists a subsystem $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $n_k \in \{k^2 + o(\omega(k))\}_{k \in N}$, of Walsh system such that for arbitrary measurable function there exists a series by subsystem $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging a.e. to this function, i.e. there exists a representation spectrum $\Lambda = \{k^2 + o(\omega(k))\}_{k \in N}$ (the notation $\{k^2 + o(\omega(k))\}_{k \in N}$ means that we can find a sequence $\alpha_k \to 0$ such that $\{k^2 + \alpha_k \cdot \omega(k)\}_{k \in N}$ is a representations spectrum).

Let us consider the set of natural numbers in binary representation: $N = \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i 2^i : \delta_i = 0, 1\right\}$. After substituting all indexes *i* in the exponents by N_i (for a given sequence $N_0 < N_1 < ... N_i < ...$) we will get the set $D = \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i 2^{N_i} : \delta_i = 0, 1\right\}$, which, as it can be easily seen, cannot be a universality spectrum in general. However, for any sequence $\omega(k)$, tending to infinity, by small change of *D* it can be transformed into a spectrum of universality for the Walsh system. The main result of the present work is the following

Theorem. For any sequence of nonnegative integers $N_0 < N_1 < ... < N_i < ...$ and arbitrary sequence $\omega(k)$, tending to infinity, the set $D = \left\{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i 2^{N_i} : \delta_i = 0, 1\right\}$ can be transformed into the set $\Lambda = \{k + o(\omega(k)) : k \in D\} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by small change such that Λ is a universality spectrum (in $S = L^0[0,1]$ and in the sense of T =convergence almost everywhere) for Walsh system, i.e. there exists a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k w_{\lambda_k}(x)$ with $a_i \to 0$, such that for arbitrary function $f \in L^0[0,1]$ there is a sequence of natural numbers $\{v_k\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\nu_k} a_i w_{\lambda_i}(x) = f(x)$ almost every-

where on [0,1].

Definitions, Notations and Some Properties. Let us recall the definition of Walsh system $\{w_k(t)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ in the Paley ordering [10, 11]:

$$w_0(t) = 1, \quad w_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, 1/2), \\ -1, & t \in [1/2, 1], \end{cases} \qquad \qquad w_{2^k}(t) = w_1(2^k t),$$

and for natural q with binary representation $q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i 2^i$, where $q_i = 0$ or $q_i = 1$, we define $w_q(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (w_{2^i}(t))^{q_i}$. Using this definition, it is easy to check the

following properties, which we will use later in the text:

1) for every natural number q we have $w_q(2^k t) = w_{q,2^k}(t)$;

2) if natural numbers p and q have nonintersecting binary representation

(see definition below), then $w_p(t)w_q(t) = w_{p+q}(t)$ (the property of index addition).

Let $p = 2^{i_0} + \dots + 2^{i_k}$ and $q = 2^{j_0} + \dots + 2^{j_n}$ be some natural numbers. We will say that binary representations of numbers p and q do not intersect, if $\{i_0, \dots, i_k\} \cap \{j_0, \dots, j_n\} = \emptyset$.

Let
$$f(t) \in L[0,1]$$
 and $\hat{f}(k) = \int_{0}^{1} f(t) w_k(t) dt$ be its Fourier–Walsh

coefficient. Then for each polynomial P(t) in Walsh system we have:

$$P(t) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \hat{P}(k) w_k(t);$$
 (*)

1.
$$(P)_m = \sum_{k=0}^m \hat{P}(k) w_k;$$

2. spec $\{P\}$ represents the set of those nonnegative integers k, for which w_k appears in the representation (*);

- 3. deg{P} is the maximal element of spec{P};
- 4. $\|\hat{P}\|_1 = \sum_{k \in \operatorname{spec}\{P\}} |\hat{P}(k)|.$

The Construction of the Spectrum of Universality. For the given sequence $N = \{N_0, N_1, ..., N_k, ...\}$ of increasing nonnegative integers we define the following sets:

$$S(i,n) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_k 2^{N_k^{(i,n)}} : \delta_k = 0,1; N_k^{(i,n)} \in N \right\} \text{ and } B_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} (i+S(i,n)),$$

where $N_k^{(i,n)}$ are chosen such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1.
$$\frac{n}{\omega(\min\{S(i,n)\})} < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } 0 \le i \le n;$$

2.
$$\max\{S(i-1,n)\} < \min\{S(i,n)\}, \ 1 \le i \le n;$$

3. $\max\{B_{n-1}\} < \min\{B_n\}$.

Then, for sufficiently large *n*, we have $B_n = \{k + o(\omega(k)) : k \in D\}$. Note that $S = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} (S(i,n)) \subset D$ and small change of it a subset *D* is specified. Other elements of *D* will be changed by 0, which is also a special case of small change. Thus, $\Lambda' = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = \{k_m + o(\omega(k_m)) : k_m \in D\} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \{k + o(\omega(k)) : k \in D\} = \Lambda$.

We will prove that Λ' is a universality spectrum, which means that Λ is a universality spectrum too. To prove that Λ' is a universality spectrum, it is enough to prove the following lemma.

Main Lemma. For every $f \in L^0[0,1]$ and for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 \in N$ there exists a polynomial P(x) in Walsh system such that:

1.
$$P(x) = \sum_{k=k_0}^{k} a_k w_{\lambda_k}(x)$$

2. $\lambda_k \in \Lambda;$

- 3. $|a_k| < \delta;$
- 4. mes{ $|f(x) P(x)| > \delta$ } < ε .

Proof of the Main Lemma. First we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma. For any |a| < 1, $0 < \alpha < 1$, y > 0 and any $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_0 < N_1 < ... < N_{k-1}$ there exists a polynomial $W(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^k - 1} \hat{W}(i) \overline{w}_i(t)$ such that:

- 1. $m\{t: |1-W(t)| \ge y\} < y^{-\alpha}c^k;$
- 2. $|\hat{W}(i)| \leq a$,

where $c = \frac{(1-a)^{\alpha} + (1+a)^{\alpha}}{2} < 1$ and $\overline{w}_i(t) = w_{q_0 2^{N_0} + \dots + q_{k-1} 2^{N_{k-1}}}(t)$ for $i = q_0 2^0 + \dots + q_{k-1} 2^{k-1}, \quad q_j = 0, 1, \quad 0 \le j \le k-1.$

In the rest of the paper to emphasize that the polynomial W(t) in the Lemma depends on numbers $N_0, N_1, ..., N_{k-1}$, we will denote $W(t) = W(t) \{N_0, ..., N_{k-1}\}$.

Proof. For the natural numbers $N_0 < N_1 < ... < N_{k-1}$ we denote $\varphi_m(t) = a \cdot w_1(2^{N_{m-1}}t) = a \cdot w_{2^{N_{m-1}}}(t)$ with |a| < 1, then $\varphi_k = a$ on the first half of each interval $\Delta_i^{(k)} = \left[\frac{i-1}{2^{N_{k-1}}}, \frac{i}{2^{N_{k-1}}}\right]$, $1 \le i \le 2^{N_{k-1}}$, and $\varphi_k = -a$ on the second half. Now for $\alpha < 1$ we have

$$\int_{\Delta_{i}^{(k)}} (1 - \varphi_{k}(t))^{\alpha} dt = \frac{|\Delta_{i}^{(k)}|}{2} ((1 - a)^{\alpha} + (1 + a)^{\alpha}) = c \int_{\Delta_{i}^{(k)}} dt,$$

where we denote $c = \frac{(1-a)^{\alpha} + (1+a)^{\alpha}}{2} < \left(\frac{1-a+1+a}{2}\right)^{\alpha} = 1.$

It is easy to see that φ_j , for $0 \le j < k-1$, are constant on each of $\Delta_i^{(k)}$, $1 \le i \le 2^{N_{k-1}}$. Let us prove that $\int_0^1 (1-\varphi_1(t))^{\alpha} \dots (1-\varphi_n(t))^{\alpha} dt = c^n$.

For n=1 it is obvious. Let us assume that the statement is true for n=k-2and prove it for n=k-1. We have

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-\varphi_{1}(t))^{\alpha} \dots (1-\varphi_{k-1}(t))^{\alpha} dt = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N_{k-1}}} \int_{\Delta_{i}^{(k)}} (1-\varphi_{1}(t))^{\alpha} \dots (1-\varphi_{k-2}(t))^{\alpha} (1-\varphi_{k-1}(t))^{\alpha} dt =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N_{k-1}}} (1-\varphi_{1}(t_{i}))^{\alpha} \dots (1-\varphi_{k-2}(t_{i}))^{\alpha} \int_{\Delta_{i}^{(k)}} (1-\varphi_{k-1}(t))^{\alpha} dt,$$

where $t_i \in \Delta_i^{(k)}$. Then

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1 - \varphi_{1}(t))^{\alpha} \dots (1 - \varphi_{k-1}(t))^{\alpha} dt = c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N_{k-1}}} (1 - \varphi_{1}(t_{i}))^{\alpha} \dots (1 - \varphi_{k-2}(t_{i}))^{\alpha} \int_{\Delta_{i}^{(k)}} dt = c \cdot \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \varphi_{1}(t))^{\alpha} \dots (1 - \varphi_{k-1}(t))^{\alpha} dt = c \cdot c^{k-1} = c^{k}$$

Now we present the product $(1-\varphi_0(t))\cdots(1-\varphi_k(t))$ in the form of the sum:

$$\left(1 - a w_1\left(2^{N_0} t\right)\right) \dots \left(1 - a w_1\left(2^{N_{k-1}} t\right)\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} \hat{w}(i) \overline{w}_i(t)$$

where for each $i = q_1 2^0 + \dots + q_k 2^{k-1}$, $q_j = 0,1$ we denote $\overline{w}_i(t) = w_{q_0 2^{N_0} + \dots + q_{k-1} 2^{N_{k-1}}}(t)$. It is easy to see that $\hat{w}(0) = 1$ and $|\hat{w}(i)| \le a$ for $0 < i < 2^k$. Thus, for nonintersecting *m* and *n* we have $\overline{w}_m \cdot \overline{w}_n = \overline{w}_{m+n}$. By denoting $W(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}} \hat{w}(i) \overline{w}_i(t)$, we have $\int_0^1 |1 - W(t)|^\alpha dt < c^k$ and, therefore, $m\{t: |1 - W(t)| \ge y\} \le y^{-\alpha} \int_0^1 |1 - W(t)|^\alpha dt < y^{-\alpha} \cdot c^k$.

The second statement of the Lemma is obvious from the construction of the polynomial.

The Lemma is proved.

Proof of the Main Lemma. Let us approximate the function f by polynomial P_1 so that $m\{t : | P_1 - f | > \delta/2\} < \varepsilon/2$. We take a such that $0 < a < \delta$, n such that $(\deg P_1 + 1) \frac{2^{\alpha} \|\hat{P}_1\|_1^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} c^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and take $y = \frac{\delta}{2 \|\hat{P}_1\|_1}$. We define the polynomial $P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} \hat{P}_1(k) w_k(t) W_k(t)$, where the

polynomials $W_k(t) = W(t) \{ N_1^{(k)}, ..., N_n^{(k)} \}$ are chosen according to the Lemma, and the numbers $N_k^{(i)}$ are to be chosen later.

Now we put $M = \max\{\deg P_1, n\}$. For all $m \ge M$ we can choose the numbers $N_k^{(i)}$ from the set of numbers $N_k^{(i,m)}$ such that $\operatorname{spec}\{P\} \subset B_m$ for all $m \ge M$ and, therefore, $\operatorname{spec}\{P\} \subset \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Hence, we can choose numbers $N_k^{(i)}$ such that $\min\{\operatorname{spec}\{P\}\} > k_0$ for any given k_0 . So the first and second statements of the Main Lemma are satisfied.

We have the following estimates:
$$|P - P_1| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} \hat{p}_1(k) w_k(t) (W_k(t) - 1) \right|$$
,
 $m \left\{ t : |P - P_1| \ge \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} |\hat{p}_1(k)| y \right\} \le m \left\{ t : \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} |\hat{p}_1(k) (W_k(t) - 1)| \ge \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} |\hat{p}_1(k)| y \right\} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} m \left\{ t : |\hat{P}_1(k) (W_k(t) - 1)| \ge |\hat{P}_1(k)| y \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P_1} m \left\{ t : |W_k(t) - 1| \ge y \right\} \le (\deg P_1 + 1) y^{-\alpha} c^n$
Then $m \{ t : |P - f| > \delta/2 + || \hat{P}_1 ||_1 y \} \le m \left\{ t : |P - P_1| + |P_1 - f| > \delta/2 + || \hat{P}_1 ||_1 y \right\} \le m \left\{ t : |P - P_1| > || \hat{P}_1 ||_1 y \right\} + m \left\{ t : |P_1 - f| > \delta/2 \right\} < (\deg P_1 + 1) y^{-\alpha} c^n + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$.
So, we have $m \{ t : |P - f| > \delta \right\} < \varepsilon$.
The Main Lemma is proved.

Proof of the Theorem.

Theorem. There exists a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k w_{\lambda_k}(x)$ with $c_k \to 0$, which is

universal in the usual sense for $L^0[0,1]$.

Proof. We denote by $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of polynomials with rational coefficients and, applying successively the Main Lemma, we can choose a sequence of polynomials $Q_j(x)$ in subsystem of the Walsh system $Q_j(x) = \sum_{i=m_{j-1}}^{m_j-1} a_i w_{\lambda_i}(x), \text{ satisfying the following conditions:}$ 1. $m\{x:|f_k(x) - \sum_{j=1}^k Q_j(x)| < 2^{-k}\} > 1 - 2^{-k};$ 2. $|a_i| < 2^{-j}$, for all $i \in [m_{j-1}, m_j)$.
Let $f(x) \in L^0[0,1]$. Let us choose a subsequence of polynomials $\{f_{\nu_m}\}$ such that $m\{|f(x) - f_{\nu_k}(x)| < 2^{-2k}\} > 1 - 2^{-k}.$ Let $B_k = \{x:|f(x) - f_{\nu_k}(x)| < 2^{-2k}\},$

 $E_{k} = \left\{ x : |f_{\nu_{k}} - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_{k}} Q_{j}(x)| < 2^{-\nu_{k}} \right\} \text{ and, finally, } E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} (E_{k} \cap B_{k}). \text{ Obviously,}$

$$|E|=1$$
. Then $\left|f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_k} \left(\sum_{i=m_{j-1}}^{m_j-1} a_i w_{\lambda_i}(x)\right)\right| < 2^{-k}$ for all $x \in E_k \cap B_k$.

This means that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_k} a_i w_{\lambda_i}(x) = f(x)$ on E, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i w_{\lambda_i}(x)$ is universal in the usual sense for $L^0[0,1]$ and $a_i \to 0$.

The author is grateful to prof. M. Grigoryan for useful remarks and discussions.

Received 10.05.2011

REFERENCES

- 1. Menshoff D.E. // Mat. Sbornik, 1947, v. 20, p. 197 (in Russian).
- 2. Kozlov W.Ya. // Mat. Sbornik, 1950, v. 26, p. 351 (in Russian).
- 3. Talalyan A.A. // Izv. AN Arm. SSR. Matematika, 1957, v. 10, № 3, p. 17 (in Russian).
- 4. Talalyan A.A. // Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 1960, v. 15, № 5, p. 567–604 (in Russian).
- 5. Grigorian M.G. // Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika, 2000, v.35, № 4, p. 23–29 (in Russian).
- 6. Orlicz W. // Bull. de l'Academie Polonaise des Sciences, 1927, v. 81, p. 117–125.
- 7. Fichtengolz G.M. A Course of Differential and Integral Calculus. V.II. M.: Nauka, 1996 (in Russian).
- 8. Kozma G., Olevskii A. // J. Anal. Math., 2001, v. 84, p. 361–393.
- 9. Nalbandyan M.A. // Izv. Vuzov. Matematika, 2009, v. 10, p. 51-62 (in Russian).
- 10. Walsh J.L. // Amer. J. Math., 1923, v. 45, p. 5-24.
- 11. Paley R.E.A.C. // Proc. London Math. Soc., 1932, v. 34, p. 241–279.