

SOLUTION OF ONE VOLTERRA TYPE NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATION
ON POSITIVE SEMI-AXIS

Kh. A. KHACHATRYAN *, T. H. SARDARYAN**

Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Republic of Armenia

The work is devoted to the investigation of one class of Volterra type nonlinear integral equations on positive half-line. The specified class of equations except for self-interest in mathematics has also important applications in physical kinetics. The combination of special factorization methods with methods of construction of invariant cone segments allows us to construct a non-negative solution of initial equation, and investigate integral asymptotics of that solution at infinity.

MSC2010: 45G05.

Keywords: factorization, Caratheodory's condition, asymptotics.

Introduction and Formulation of the Main Result. Nonlinear integral equations of the form

$$\varphi(x) = G\left(x, g(x) + \int_0^x v(x, t)\varphi(t)dt\right), \quad x \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

with respect to the unknown measurable function $\varphi(x)$ arise in several problems of mathematical physics. In particular, by means of equation (1) are described model problems of kinetic theory of gases (see [1]). In the particular case when kernel $v(x, t)$ depends on the difference of arguments: $v(x, t) = v(x - t)$, an equation of this type are also arise in the theory of Markov processes, and in theory of renewal equations. (see [2]). In the latter case there are numerous works devoted to the study of such equations (see [3, 4] and citation therein). These papers are mainly devoted to the problems of solvability of the equation (1) in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $p > 1$ spaces in case, when the function $G(x, z)$ satisfies Hölder–Lipschitz condition by second argument with certain power, monotonicity condition by z and some technical conditions are assumed. It was also assumed that the following conditions are fulfilled

$$0 \leq g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad p > 1, \quad v(x) \geq 0, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty v(\tau)d\tau \leq 1.$$

In the present work under certain conditions on the functions G, V and g , the solvability of equation (1) in $L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\mathbb{R}^+ \equiv [0, +\infty)$ is studied.

* E-mail: Khach82@rambler.ru,

** E-mail: Sardaryan.tigran@gmail.com

Here we assume that the following conditions are fulfilled.

A) $0 \leq g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $m_1(g) \equiv \int_0^\infty xg(x)dx < +\infty$, $g(x) \not\equiv 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$;

B) the kernel $v(x, t)$ has the form

$$v(x, t) = \int_a^b \alpha(t, s)e^{-\alpha(t, s)(x-t)} d\sigma(s) \cdot \Theta(x-t), \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha(t, s)$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times [a, b]$, measurable function ($0 \leq a \leq b \leq +\infty$) satisfies the following conditions:

B_1) there exists a number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that

$$\alpha(t, s) \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad s \in [a, b]; \tag{3}$$

B_2) there exists number $\beta \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that

$$\delta \equiv \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \int_a^b \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha(t, s)}\right) d\sigma(s) < 1. \tag{4}$$

Here $\sigma(s)$ is a non decreasing function on $[a, b]$ with

$$\int_a^b d\sigma(s) = 1, \tag{5}$$

and Θ is the well known Heaviside function.

C) $G(x, z)$ is a measurable function, defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

C_1) for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, G is increasing with respect to z , when $z \geq g(x)$;

C_2) $G(x, z)$ satisfies Caratheodory's condition with respect to the argument z on the set $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, i.e. for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the function $G(x, z)$ is measurable in x , and for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $G(x, z)$ is continuous in z on \mathbb{R}^+ ;

C_3) for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$0 \leq G(x, z) \leq z, \quad z \geq g(x). \tag{6}$$

The main result of the present work is the following:

Theorem. Let conditions A), B), B_1), B_2), C_1) – C_3) are fulfilled. Then the equation (1) has a nontrivial, non-negative solution in $L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with integral asymptotics $\int_0^x \varphi(t)dt = O(x)$, as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of the Theorem.

We consider the following auxiliary linear Volterra equation

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = g(x) + \int_0^x v(x, t)\mathcal{P}(t)dt, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{7}$$

with respect to the unknown function $\mathcal{P}(x)$, where the kernel $v(x, t)$ is given by (2).

Note that conditions (2), (3) and (5) imply that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^\infty v(x, t)dx = 1. \tag{8}$$

The equation (8) in a certain way makes difficult to deduce the existence of a non-negative solution for equation (7).

We denote by \mathfrak{M} the class of the following Volterra type integral operators: $\hat{V}_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$, if

$$(\hat{V}_0 f)(x) = \int_0^x v_0(x, t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in R^+, \quad f \in L_1^{loc}(R^+), \quad (9)$$

and

$$v_0(x, t) \geq 0, \quad (x, t) \in R^+ \times R^+, \quad \mu(v_0) \equiv \sup_{t \in R^+} \int_0^\infty v_0(x, t) dx < +\infty. \quad (10)$$

The equation (7) can be rewritten in the operator form as

$$(I - \hat{V})\mathcal{P} = g, \quad (11)$$

where I is the identity operator, and \hat{V} is the Volterra integral operator with kernel (2). From (8) and (2) it follows that $\hat{V} \in \mathfrak{M}$. We consider the following factorization problem: for a given operator $\hat{V} \in \mathfrak{M}$ (with kernel (2)) find an operator $\hat{W} \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that the following factorization is true:

$$I - \hat{V} = (I - \hat{U})(I - \hat{W}), \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{U} \in \mathfrak{M}$ has the following structure:

$$(\hat{U}f)(x) = \beta \int_0^x e^{-\beta(x-t)} f(t) dt, \quad f \in L_1^{loc}(R^+). \quad (13)$$

The factorization (12) should be understood as an equality of operators, acting in $L_1^{loc}(R^+)$.

From (12) it follows that

$$\hat{W} = \hat{V} - \hat{U} + \hat{U}\hat{W}. \quad (14)$$

In terms of the kernels, (14) is equivalent to

$$w(x, \tau) = v(x, \tau) - u(x, \tau) + \int_\tau^x u(x, t) w(t, \tau) dt, \quad (x, \tau) \in R^+ \times R^+, \quad (15)$$

where $u(x, \tau) = \beta e^{-\beta(x-\tau)} \Theta(x-\tau)$, and $w(x, \tau)$ is the kernel of the integral operator $\hat{W} \in \mathfrak{M}$.

It is easy to check that the function

$$w(x, \tau) = \int_a^b (\alpha(\tau, s) - \beta) e^{-\alpha(\tau, s)(x-\tau)} d\sigma(s) \cdot \Theta(x-\tau) \quad (16)$$

satisfies (15) and

$$w(x, \tau) \geq 0, \quad \mu(w) \leq \delta < 1, \quad (17)$$

where δ is determined by means of the formula (4). Therefore, $\hat{W} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Below we verify that the equation (15) has a unique solution in the following class of functions:

$$\Omega = \{w(x, \tau) \geq 0, \quad (x, \tau) \in R^+ \times R^+, \quad \mu(w) < +\infty\}, \quad (18)$$

where μ is defined in (10).

Let $w_1, w_2 \in \Omega$ be the two different solutions of equation (15). Due to linearity of (15), their difference $\Delta w = w_1 - w_2$ satisfies corresponding homogeneous equation

$$\Delta w(x, \tau) = \int_\tau^x u(x, t) \Delta w(t, \tau) dt. \quad (19)$$

Since $\mu(|\Delta w|) < +\infty$, then for each $\gamma > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma x} |\Delta w(x, \tau)| dx \leq \mu(|\Delta w|) < +\infty.$$

By multiplying both sides of (19) by $e^{-\gamma x}$, $\gamma > 0, x \in R^+$ and integrating both sides of the obtained equality in x from τ to $+\infty$, we will obtain from (19)

$$\int_\tau^\infty e^{-\gamma x} |\Delta w(x, \tau)| dx \leq \int_\tau^\infty e^{-\gamma x} \int_\tau^x u(x, t) |\Delta w(t, \tau)| dt dx.$$

By changing the order of integration and due to Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\int_\tau^\infty e^{-\gamma x} |\Delta w(x, \tau)| dx \leq \int_\tau^\infty |\Delta w(t, \tau)| \int_t^\infty e^{-\gamma x} u(x, t) dx dt,$$

or (taking into account the representation of $u(x, t)$)

$$\int_\tau^\infty e^{-\gamma x} |\Delta w(x, \tau)| dx \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} \int_\tau^\infty e^{-\gamma x} |\Delta w(t, \tau)| dt,$$

which implies that $\Delta w(x, \tau) = 0$ almost everywhere on set $R^+ \times R^+$. Thus, the uniqueness of solution of equation (15) in Ω is proved. Therefore, the factorization (12) is unique in the class of integral operators \mathfrak{M} , and the kernel of operator $\hat{W} \in \mathfrak{M}$ is given by (16).

Now, by means of factorization (12), we will prove that equation (7) has non-negative solution in $L_1^{loc}(R^+)$, and $\int_0^x \mathcal{P}(t) dt = O(x)$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Taking into account (12), the equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$(I - \hat{U})(I - \hat{W})\mathcal{P} = g, \tag{20}$$

The solution of equation (20) is reduced to successive solution of the following two coupled equations:

$$(I - \hat{U})F = g, \tag{21}$$

$$(I - \hat{W})\mathcal{P} = F. \tag{22}$$

Equation (21) can be represented as

$$F(x) = g(x) + \beta \int_0^x e^{-\beta(x-t)} F(t) dt, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{23}$$

It is obvious that the solution of (23) has the form

$$F(x) = g(x) + \beta \int_0^x g(t) dt, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{24}$$

Since $g \in L_1(R^+)$, then from (24) it follows that

$$F \in L_1^{loc}(R^+). \tag{25}$$

Next, concerning the solution of equation (22)

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = F(x) + \int_0^x w(x, t) \mathcal{P}(t) dt, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{26}$$

we consider the following successive approximation for (26)

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = F(x) + \int_0^x w(x, t) \mathcal{P}_n(t) dt, \mathcal{P}_0 \equiv 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{27}$$

By means of induction it is easy to verify that:

1) $\mathcal{P}_n(x) \uparrow$ in n ;

2) $\mathcal{P}_n \in L_1^{loc}(R^+)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$;

3) for each $r > 0$ the following inequality

$$\int_0^r \mathcal{P}_n(t) dt \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_0^r F(t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{is fulfilled.}$$

Therefore, from B. Levi's theorem (see [5]), due to arbitrariness of r it follows the existence of a function $\mathcal{P} \in L_1^{loc}(R^+)$ such that $\mathcal{P}_n \uparrow \mathcal{P}$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $L_1^{loc}(R^+)$ and \mathcal{P} satisfies the equation (26) and the following inequality

$$\int_0^r \mathcal{P}(t) dt \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1} \int_0^r F(t) dt. \quad (28)$$

Taking into consideration (24), from (26) and (28), we get

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r F(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \mathcal{P}(t) dt \leq \frac{(1 - \delta)^{-1}}{r} \int_0^r F(t) dt, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r F(t) dt &= \frac{1}{r} \left(\int_0^r g(t) dt + \beta \int_0^r \int_0^t g(u) du dt \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left(\int_0^r g(t) dt + \beta \int_0^r g(u)(r - u) du \right) \rightarrow \beta \int_0^\infty g(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

when $r \rightarrow +\infty$, since $g \in L_1(R^+)$, $m_1(g) < +\infty$.

Therefore, taking into account (30), from (29) we will obtain the following asymptotic equality

$$\int_0^x \mathcal{P}(t) dt = O(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (31)$$

Now, we turn to the solution of the initial equation (1). For this purpose we consider the following iteration:

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = G\left(x, g(x) + \int_0^x v(x, t) \varphi_n(t) dt\right), \quad x \geq 0, \quad \varphi_0(x) \equiv 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

Using conditions C_1) and C_3) and applying induction method it is easy to check that:

1) $\varphi_n(x) \uparrow$ in n ;

2) $\varphi_n(x) \leq \mathcal{P}(x)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $x \geq 0$,

where $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is the solution of equation (7). Therefore, the sequence of functions $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has a pointwise limit, as $n \rightarrow \infty$: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x) = \varphi(x)$, where the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$G(x, g(x)) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \mathcal{P}(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

From condition C_2) by the B. Levi's theorem, we conclude that $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (1).

Since $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \mathcal{P}(x)$, then from (31) it follows that

$$\int_0^x \varphi(t) dt = O(x). \quad \square$$

At the end of the paper let give the following two remarks:

Remark 1. If function $\alpha(t, s)$ satisfies condition (3) and

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \int_a^b \frac{1}{\alpha(t, s)} d\sigma(s) > 0, \quad (34)$$

the condition (4) is automatically satisfied.

As to the condition (34), it will be satisfied for example, when α is also bounded above.

Remark 2. To illustrate the result, we give two examples of function $G(x, z)$, satisfying $C_1) - C_3)$:

1. $G(x, z) = z^q (g(x))^{1-q}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $z \geq 0$, $g(x) \geq 0$,

2. $G(x, z) = g(x) \ln \left(\frac{z}{g(x)} + 1 \right)$.

This work was supported by State Committee of Science of MES RA, in frame of the research project № SCS 13-1A068

Received 22.07.2013

REFERENCES

1. **Engibaryan N.B., Khachatryan A.Kh.** On Nonlinear Theory Dynamics of Dilute Gas. // J. Mat. Mod., 2004, v. 16, № 1, p. 67–74 (in Russian).
2. **Feller W.** An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. M.: Mir, 1967, 752 p. (in Russian).
3. **Karapetyants N.K., Kilbas A.A., Saigo M.** On the Solutions of Nonlinear Volterra Convolution Equation with Power Nonlinearity. // Journal of Integral Equations and Applications, 1996, v. 8, № 4, p. 429–445.
4. **Lauran M.** Existence Results for Some Nonlinear Integral Equations. // Miskole Mathem. Notes, 2012, v. 13, № 1 p. 67–74.
5. **Kolmogorov A.N., Fomin V.S.** Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis. M.: Nauka, 1981, 544 p. (in Russian).